Downloaded from UvA-DARE, the institutional repository of the University of Amsterdam (UvA)
http://dare.uva.nl/document/95880

File ID	95880
Filename	Contents

SOURCE (OR PART OF THE FOLLOWING SOURCE):

Type	Dissertation
Title	Fiscal policy under rules and restrictions
Author	M.P. Ribeiro
Faculty	Faculty of Economics and Business
Year	2008
Pages	294
ISBN	978 90 5170 980 3

FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC DETAILS:
http://dare.uva.nl/record/265694

Copyright

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (http://dare.uva.nl)

Contents

1 Introduction **1**
1.1 Why are fiscal rules and restrictions implemented? . . . 2
1.1.1 Deficit biases and other motivations on why to implement fiscal rules and restrictions . . . 3
1.2 How are fiscal rules and restrictions implemented? . . . 6
1.2.1 Classes of fiscal restrictions . . . 6
1.2.2 Flexibility of fiscal restrictions and countercyclical fiscal policy . . . 11
1.2.3 Credibility and enforcement of fiscal rules and restrictions . . . 11
1.2.4 Guidelines and optimal design of fiscal rules and restrictions . . . 13
1.2.5 Subnational fiscal policy restrictions . . . 16
1.3 Where are fiscal rules implemented? . . . 17
1.3.1 The Stability and Growth Pact . . . 17
1.3.2 Other fiscal constraints in the world . . . 22

2 A comparison of debt versus primary-deficit constraints **35**
2.1 Introduction . . . 36
2.2 The model . . . 37
2.2.1 The private sector . . . 38
2.2.2 The government . . . 38
2.2.3 Equilibrium . . . 40
2.2.4 Equilibrium under a non-myopic government . . . 40
2.3 A myopic government . . . 41
2.3.1 Debt constraints . . . 42
2.3.2 Deficit constraints . . . 43
2.3.3 The solution . . . 43
2.4 Welfare . . . 45
2.5 Numerical evaluation . . . 45
2.5.1 Calibration . . . 45
2.5.2 Results . . . 47
2.6 Conclusion . . . 52
2.7 Tables and figures . . . 53
Appendices to Chapter 2 . . . 62
2.A Solution for the non-myopic government . . . 62
2.B Intertemporal budget constraint under debt sanction . . . 65
2.C Intertemporal budget constraint under deficit sanction . . . 66
2.D Deterministic steady state under debt-based sanction . . . 67
2.E Deterministic steady state under deficit-based sanction . . . 68
2.F Stochastic steady state with debt-based sanction . . . 69

2.F.1 First-order approximation of (2.26) . . . 70
2.F.2 Second-order approximation of (2.26) . . . 76
2.F.3 Stochastic steady state . . . 98
2.F.4 Stochastic steady state of government expenditure with debt-based sanction . . . 99
2.G Stochastic steady state with primary deficit-based sanction . . . 102
2.G.1 First-order approximation of (2.29) . . . 103
2.G.2 Second-order approximation of (2.29) . . . 107
2.G.3 Unconditional expectation of the primary deficit . . . 122
2.G.4 Stochastic steady state of government expenditure with deficit-based sanction . . . 124
2.H Welfare analysis . . . 126
2.H.1 Welfare in the debt-based sanction case . . . 127
2.H.2 Welfare in the primary deficit-based sanction case . . . 128

3 The political economy of structural reforms under a deficit restriction 131
3.1 Introduction . . . 132
3.2 The model . . . 133
3.2.1 Private agents . . . 134
3.2.2 The Parties . . . 136
3.2.3 The timing . . . 138
3.3 The social Planner solution . . . 139
3.4 Solution with a partisan government . . . 140
3.4.1 The deficit decision . . . 140
3.4.2 The choice of compensation . . . 142
3.5 Comparative statics . . . 143
3.5.1 Tighter enforcement of the deficit restriction . . . 144
3.5.2 Increased income uncertainty . . . 145
3.5.3 Reduced income inequality . . . 145
3.6 Welfare effects . . . 146
3.7 Conclusion . . . 149
3.8 Table . . . 152
Appendices to Chapter 3 . . . 153
3.A Optimal deficit under re-election of initial incumbent in period 1 . . . 153
3.B Optimal deficit under no re-election in period 1 . . . 153
3.C Choice of η . . . 154
3.C.1 Proof that (3.23) has at most one solution . . . 157
3.D Comparative statics . . . 158
3.D.1 Effect of k on η, b^R and b^{NR} . . . 158
3.D.2 Effect of k on the probability of re-election . . . 162
3.D.3 Effect of $\overline{\varepsilon}$ on η, b^R and b^{NR} . . . 162
3.D.4 Effect of $\overline{\varepsilon}$ on the probability of re-election . . . 166
3.D.5 Effect of y^m on η, b^R and b . . . 166
3.D.6 Effect of y^m on the probability of re-election . . . 170
3.E Welfare analysis . . . 170
3.E.1 Effect of k on the expected social welfare . . . 170
3.F Numerical check of Proposition 3.1 for $p < 1$ . . . 172
3.G Extensions and a variation . . . 173

3.G.1 A contingent deficit restriction . . . 173
3.G.2 Targeting compensation . . . 175
3.G.3 Pecuniary sanctions . . . 176
3.H A contingent deficit restriction – derivations . . . 177
3.H.1 Comparative statics . . . 178
3.I Fines in the government budget constraint – derivations . . . 182
3.I.1 Effect of k on η, b^R, b^{NR} and $\Pr(R)$ . . . 183

4 How effective have Europe's fiscal restrictions been? 187
4.1 Introduction . . . 188
4.2 Empirical strategy and methodology . . . 189
4.2.1 Research questions . . . 189
4.2.2 The fiscal reaction function . . . 191
4.2.3 Estimation procedure . . . 194
4.2.4 Data and descriptive statistics . . . 195
4.3 Estimation results . . . 198
4.3.1 Effects of the MT and the SGP on the Euro-11 . . . 198
4.3.2 Comparison of the Euro-11 with other OECD countries . . . 200
4.4 Robustness tests . . . 205
4.5 Conclusion . . . 209
4.6 Tables and figures . . . 211
Appendices to Chapter 4 . . . 224
4.A Dataset . . . 224
4.A.1 List of variables . . . 224
4.B Instrumental variables . . . 227
4.C Estimations for EU-14 . . . 229
4.D Estimations for OECD-6 . . . 232
4.E Estimation for the OECD-17 . . . 232
4.F Robustness tests . . . 236
4.F.1 Additional economic variables . . . 236
4.F.2 Additional political variables . . . 252
4.F.3 Heterogeneity of output gap and inflation for Euro-11 . . . 270
4.G Unit root tests . . . 272

5 Conclusion 275

Bibliography 279

Samenvatting (summary in Dutch) 289