CHANDRA OBSERVATIONS OF THE FAINTEST LOW-MASS X-RAY BINARIES

COLLEEN. A. WILSON,¹ SANDEEP K. PATEL,² AND CHRYSSA KOUVELIOTOU^{1,3} SD 50 Space Science Research Center, National Space Science and Technology Center, 320 Sparkman Drive, Huntsville, AL 35805; colleen.wilson-hodge@nsstc.nasa.gov

PETER G. JONKER Institute of Astronomy, Cambridge University, Madingley Road, CB3 0HA Cambridge, UK

MICHIEL VAN DER KLIS Astronomical Institute "Anton Pannekoek" and Centre for High-Energy Astrophysics, University of Amsterdam, Kruislaan 403, NL-1098 SJ Amsterdam, Netherlands

WALTER H. G. LEWIN

Department of Physics and Center for Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02138

TOMASO BELLONI

Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, via Bianchi 46, 23807 Merate (LC), Italy

AND

MARIANO MÉNDEZ Space Research Organization Netherlands (SRON), National Institute for Space Research, Sorbonnelaan 2, 3584 CA Utrecht, Netherlands Received 2003 March 26; accepted 2003 June 11

ABSTRACT

A group of persistently faint Galactic X-ray sources exist that, based on their location in the Galaxy, high L_X/L_{opt} , association with X-ray bursts, and absence of low-frequency X-ray pulsations, are thought to be low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs). We present results from *Chandra* observations for eight of these systems: 4U 1708–408, 2S 1711–339, KS 1739–304, SLX 1735–269, GRS 1736–297, SLX 1746–331, 1E 1746.7–3224, and 4U 1812–12. Locations for all these sources, excluding GRS 1736–297, SLX 1746–331, and KS 1739–304 (which were not detected), were improved to 0.6 error circles (90% confidence). Our observations support earlier findings of transient behavior of GRS 1736–297, KS 1739–304, SLX 1746–331, and 2S 1711–339 (which we detect in one of two observations). Energy spectra for 4U 1708–408, 2S 1711–339, SLX 1735–269, 1E 1746.7–3224, and 4U 1812–12 are hard, with power-law indices typically 1.4–2.1, which is consistent with typical faint LMXB spectra.

Subject headings: accretion, accretion disks — binaries: close — X-rays: binaries — X-rays: stars

1. INTRODUCTION

Low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) are systems in which a low-mass (<1 M_{\odot}) star transfers matter to either a low magnetic field (typically $\sim 10^9$ G) neutron star or a black hole. In both cases plasma flows down to a few stellar radii and produces observable properties in X-rays (spectra and timing). Over the last several years comprehensive studies of these properties have provided crucial information on fundamental properties of compact objects: e.g., the equation of state of neutron stars (see van der Klis 2000 for a review) or general relativistic effects near black hole horizons (see Tanaka & Lewin 1995; Esin et al. 2001). However, several sources exist whose X-ray properties indicate they may also be LMXBs but whose X-ray emission was so faint that no instruments were sensitive enough to study them until the launch of Chandra. This paper describes our Chandra observations of eight such objects listed as candidate LMXBs in van Paradijs (1995); the accurate locations we derived with *Chandra* will enable follow-up observations in the infrared/ optical.

4U 1708-40 is a persistent X-ray source that was observed by most of the early X-ray instruments, e.g., Uhuru, OSO 7, Ariel V, and HEAO 1 (van Paradijs 1995 and references therein). A ROSAT source, 1RXS J17141224.8-405034, at $R.A. = 17^{h}12^{m}24^{s}8$, decl. = $-40^{\circ}50'34''.5$ (J2000.0; 1 σ error radius = 10"), detected in the ROSAT all-sky survey (RASS), lies in the error box for 4U 1708-40 (Voges et al. 1999). Since 1996, 4U 1708-40 has been a persistent source in the Rossi X-Ray Timing Explorer (RXTE) all-sky monitor (ASM). Its 2–10 keV flux⁴ slowly declined from about $6.2\times 10^{-10}\mbox{ ergs}\mbox{ cm}^{-2}\mbox{ s}^{-1}$ in 1996–1997 to a minimum of about 1.0×10^{-10} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ in mid-1998, followed by a slow rise to about 8.3×10^{-10} ergs $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ in mid-2001 and a second slow decline that continued in 2002-2003. At the time of our Chandra observations, the 2-10 keV flux level in the RXTE ASM was

³ Universities Space Research Association.

 4 Throughout this paper we use the conversion factors 1 mcrab = 0.75 ASM counts $s^{-1}=2.08\times 10^{-11}$ ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ (2–10 keV).

Below we briefly summarize prior observations for each of these objects. Table 1 provides a convenient comparison of the observed fluxes discussed below with our *Chandra* observations.

¹ NASA Marshall Space Flight Center.

² National Academy of Sciences National Research Council Fellow.

Object	Date	Instrument	Energy Range (keV)	Flux (ergs $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$)
4U 1708-40	1996–1997	RXTE ASM	2-10	$6.2 imes 10^{-10}$
	Mid-1998	<i>RXTE</i> ASM	2-10	$1.0 imes10^{-10}$
	1999 Aug	BeppoSAX	2-10	$1.2 imes 10^{-10a}$
	2000 May 15	Chandra	1-10	$8.7 imes10^{-10}$
	2000 Jun 18	<i>RXTE</i> PCA	2-10	$7.4 imes 10^{-10a}$
	Mid-2001	<i>RXTE</i> ASM	2-10	$8.3 imes10^{-10}$
	2001 Aug	BeppoSAX	2-10	$8.9 imes 10^{-10a}$
2S 1711–339	1998 Jul–1999 May	<i>RXTE</i> ASM	2-10	$8.2 imes10^{-10}$
	1998 Jul–1999 May	<i>BeppoSAX</i> WFC	2–28	$6.3 imes10^{-10}$
	2000 Feb 29	BeppoSAXNFI	2-6	$2.4 imes 10^{-11}$
	2000 Mar 22	<i>BeppoSAX</i> WFC	2–28	\lesssim 7 \times 10 ⁻¹¹
	2000 Jun 9	Chandra	1-10	$4.4 imes10^{-11}$
	2002 Mar 12	Chandra	1 - 10	$\lesssim 1 \times 10^{-13}$
SLX 1735-269	1996-2003	<i>RXTE</i> ASM	2-10	$3.1 imes 10^{-10}$
	1997 Feb–May	<i>RXTE</i> PCA	3–25	$(2.8-3.8) \times 10^{-10}$
	1997 Oct	<i>RXTE</i> PCA	1 - 20	$7.2 imes 10^{-10}$
	2000 Apr 4	Chandra	1 - 10	$1.9 imes10^{-10}$
	2000 May 23	Chandra	1 - 10	$2.1 imes 10^{-10}$
GRS 1736–297	1990 Sep-Oct	Granat ART-P	3-12	6×10^{-11}
	2000 May 31	Chandra	1 - 10	$\lesssim 8 imes 10^{-14}$
KS 1739–304	1989	Mir-Kvant	2-30	2×10^{-10}
	1990	Granat ART-P	8-20	\lesssim 5 \times 10 ⁻¹¹
	2002 May 5	Chandra	1-10	$\lesssim 1 imes 10^{-13}$
SLX 1746-331	1985 Aug	Spacelab 2 XRT	2-10	$6.35 imes 10^{-10}$
	2000 Jun 9	Chandra	1 - 10	$\lesssim 2 imes 10^{-14}$
1E 1746.7-3224	1978-1981	Einstein	2-10	$3 imes 10^{-12}$
	1985 Aug	Spacelab 2 XRT	2-10	$\lesssim 4 imes 10^{-11}$
	1990-1991	ROSATPSPC	1-10	$(2-3) \times 10^{-11}$
	2000 Aug 30	Chandra	1-10	$2.1 imes 10^{-11}$
	2002 Jul 15-16	Chandra	1-10	$(3.2 - 3.3) \times 10^{-11}$
4U 1812–12		OSO 7, Ariel V, HEAO 1, EXOSAT	2-10	$4 imes 10^{-10}$
	1996-2003	<i>RXTE</i> ASM	2-10	$3.7 imes 10^{-10}$
	2000 Jun 14	Chandra	1–10	$4.4 imes 10^{-10}$

TABLE 1 Summary of Observed X-Ray Fluxes

NOTE.—This table is intended as a convenient summary of observed fluxes discussed in the text and is not meant to be a complete record of all observations.

^a Unabsorbed flux.

about 5.2×10^{-10} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹. Migliari et al. (2003) reported the discovery of X-ray bursts in a BeppoSAX observation of 4U 1708-408 in 1999 August. During this observation, the spectrum of the persistent flux (before and after the bursts) was well fitted with an absorbed power law with a column density $N_{\rm H} = (2.93 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{\bar{2}2}$ cm⁻², a photon index of 2.42 ± 0.02 , and a Gaussian iron line. The unabsorbed 2–10 keV flux was 1.2×10^{-10} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹. In addition, Migliari et al. (2003) also reported results for several RXTE observations in 1997 and 2000 along with an additional *BeppoSAX* observation in 2001 during which no bursts were seen; however, steady persistent emission was observed. The energy spectra in these observations required more complicated models: an absorbed power law with photon index ~2.7 for an assumed $N_{\rm H} = 2.9 \times 10^{22} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$, and a blackbody component with $kT \sim 1.3$ keV. The unabsorbed 2–10 keV fluxes were 7.4×10^{-10} and 8.9×10^{-10} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ in the 2000 June 18 RXTE and 2001 August BeppoSAX observations, respectively.

2S 1711–339 is an X-ray burster originally detected with *Ariel V* (Carpenter et al. 1977) and more precisely located with *SAS-3* (Greenhill, Thomas, & Duldig 1979). A radio source was detected in the *SAS-3* error circle at R.A. =

 $17^{h}10^{m}52^{s}$, decl. = $-34^{\circ}00'36''$ (B1950.0; 90% confidence error radius 2/2) in 1978 July (Greenhill et al. 1979). A ROSAT source, RXS J171419.3-34023 (Voges et al. 1999), also lies within the SAS-3 error circle but just outside the radio source error circle. More recently, Cornelisse et al. (2002) reported on observations of 2S 1711-339 with BeppoSAX, the RXTE ASM, and Chandra. The RXTE ASM detected an X-ray outburst of 2S 1711–339 from 1998 July to 1999 May at a 2–10 keV flux level of 8.2×10^{-10} ergs $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$. During that time period, *BeppoSAX* detected 10 short X-ray bursts, with a persistent flux level (before and after the bursts) of 6.3×10^{-10} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ (2–28 keV). The persistent emission was fitted with a cutoff power law with a photon index 0.7, a high-energy cutoff 2.8 keV, and an assumed $N_{\rm H}$ of 1.5×10^{22} cm⁻², derived from *BeppoSAX* Narrow-Field Instrument (NFI) observations on 2000 February 29, when the 2–6 keV flux was 2.4×10^{-11} ergs cm⁻² s^{-1} . On 2000 March 22, one burst was detected with *BeppoSAX*, but the 3 σ upper limit on the persistent flux (before and after the burst) was $\leq 7 \times 10^{-11}$ ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ (2-28 keV). The Chandra observation, also described in this paper, yielded a location of R.A. = 17h14m19^s8, decl. = $-34^{\circ}02'47''$ (J2000.0; 90% confidence error radius 1") on 2000 June 9, which was consistent with the Wide-Field Camera (Cornelisse et al. 2002), *Ariel V* (Carpenter et al. 1977), and *ROSAT* (Voges et al. 1999) positions. The *Chandra* position, however, lies 0.2 outside the 90% confidence error circle of the radio source.

SLX 1735–269 is an X-ray burster first reported in 1985 from the Spacelab 2 X-Ray Telescope (XRT) observations (Skinner et al. 1987). It was present in the Einstein Slew Survey 5 years earlier (Elvis et al. 1992). A ROSAT source, 1RXS J173817.0-265940, lies within the Einstein error circle (Voges et al. 1999). Granat SIGMA observations showed it to be a persistent hard X-ray source (Goldwurm et al. 1996). Type I X-ray bursts were discovered with the BeppoSAX Wide-Field Camera (WFC; Bazzano et al. 1997). ASCA observations showed the 0.6-10 keV spectrum to be well fitted with a power law with a photon index of 2.15 and $N_{\rm H} \sim (1.4-1.5) \times 10^{22} \,{\rm cm}^{-2}$ (David et al. 1997), which was consistent with the values derived from ROSAT and Granat ART-P observations (Pavilinsky, Grebenev, & Sunyaev 1994; Grebenev, Pavilinsky, & Sunyaev 1996). Using RXTE observations from 1997 February to May, Wijnands & van der Klis (1999b) showed that the power spectrum was characterized by a strong band-limited noise component, which was approximately flat below a break frequency of 0.1–2.3 Hz. Above the break frequency the power spectrum declines as a power law of index 0.9. At the highest count rates, a broad bump was observed around 0.9 Hz. The strength of the noise (2–60 keV, integrated over 0.01–100 Hz) was \sim 24% and \sim 17% rms in the high and low count-rate data, respectively. Fits to energy spectra yielded power-law indices of 2.2 and 2.4 (with an assumed $N_{\rm H}$ of 1.47×10^{22} cm⁻²) and 3–25 keV fluxes of 3.8×10^{-10} and 2.8×10^{-10} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹, respectively. Power spectra, from extended RXTE observations in 1997 October (Barret et al. 2000) at a 1–20 keV flux level of $\sim 7.2 \times 10^{-10}$ ergs cm^{-2} s⁻¹, had a noise strength of 27.6% (2–40 keV, integrated over 0.005-300 Hz) and a break frequency of 0.08-0.15 Hz that appeared to increase with intensity. Belloni, Psaltis, & van der Klis (2002) fitted this power spectrum with a four-Lorentzian model and found a remarkable resemblance to observations of the lowluminosity X-ray burster 1E 1724-3045. SLX 1735-269 has been detected as a persistent source with the RXTE ASM since 1996 at an average 2–10 keV flux level of about $3.1 \times 10^{-10} \, \text{ergs cm}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1}$.

GRS 1736–297 was discovered with the *Granat* ART-P telescope in 1990 September and was subsequently observed about a month later (Pavilinsky, Grebenev, & Sunyaev 1992) at a similar flux level. Its spectrum was characterized by a power law with a photon index of 1.8 and a 3–12 keV flux of 6×10^{-11} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹. Motch et al. (1998) identified RX J1739.5–2942 with GRS 1736–297, since it lies well within the 90% confidence 90″ radius *Granat* error circle (Pavilinsky et al. 1994). Further, Motch et al. (1998) identified a Be star in the *ROSAT* error circle, suggesting that although GRS 1736–297 has been previously classified as a candidate LMXB (van Paradijs 1995), it may instead be a transient Be/X-ray binary system.

KS 1739–304 is a transient X-ray source discovered with *Mir-Kvant* in 1989 at a 2–30 keV flux level of about 9 mcrab and located to within a 1/6 error circle (Sunyaev et al. 1991; Cherepashchuk et al. 1994). Subsequent observations with *Granat* ART-P in the autumn of 1990 did not detect the source, with a 3 σ upper limit of 2 mcrab (8–20 keV;

Pavilinsky et al. 1994). Very little is known about this object.

SLX 1746-331 was discovered with the Spacelab 2 XRT in 1985 August (Skinner et al. 1990). It had a very soft spectrum, best-fitted with a thermal bremsstrahlung with kT = 1.5 keV and a 2–10 keV flux of 6.35×10^{-10} ergs $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Based on the very soft spectrum, Skinner et al. (1990) suggested SLX 1746-331 as a potential black hole candidate. The position of SLX 1746-331 fell between fields in the Einstein Galactic plane survey of Hertz & Grindlay (1984), and it was not detected in the EXOSAT Galactic plane survey (Warwick et al. 1988). During the ROSAT survey observation in 1990 September 1RXS J174948.4–331215, which lies in the error circle of SLX 1746-331, was detected in outburst, but it was not detected in subsequent ROSAT High Resolution Imager (HRI) observations in 1994 October (Motch et al. 1998). Hence, the EXOSAT and ROSAT nondetections suggest this object is a transient system.

1E 1746.7–3224 was discovered during the *Einstein* Galactic plane survey (Hertz & Grindlay 1984) at a 2–10 keV flux level of 3×10^{-12} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹. *Spacelab 2* XRT observations in 1985 August gave an upper limit on the 2–10 keV flux of $\leq 4 \times 10^{-11}$ ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ (Skinner et al. 1990). During the RASS 1RXS J175003.8–322622 was detected in the *Einstein* error circle of 1E 1746.7–3224 (Voges et al. 1999). Very little is known about this object.

4U 1812-12 was first detected with Uhuru (Forman, Jones, & Tananbaum 1976; Forman et al. 1978) and was later observed by several satellites, including OSO 7, Ariel V, HEAO 1, and EXOSAT, with a typical 2-10 keV flux of $\sim 4 \times 10^{-10}$ ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ (van Paradijs 1995 and references therein). 4U 1812–12 was detected in the RASS as a bright source denoted 1RXS J181506.1-120545 (Voges et al. 1999). X-ray bursts were first detected from this source in 1982 with Hakucho (Murakami et al. 1983) and later with BeppoSAX (Cocchi et al. 2000). 4U 1812-12 is classified as an atoll source and shows band-limited noise with a break frequency of 0.095 Hz and a quasi-periodic oscillation (QPO) at 0.85 Hz (Wijnands & van der Klis 1999a). 4U 1812–12 has been detected as a persistent source with the RXTE ASM since 1996 at an average 2-10 keV flux level of about 3.7×10^{-10} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹. Recent observations in 2000 April with RXTE and BeppoSAX show 4U 1812–12 in a hard state with a hard tail extending above 100 keV. The power spectrum was characterized by a ~ 0.7 Hz OPO and three broad noise components, extending above ~ 200 Hz (Barret, Olive, & Oosterbroek 2003).

2. OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

Each of the eight objects was observed using the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS) on the *Chandra X-Ray Observatory*. Table 2 lists the details of the observations. For some sources, data were collected in two different observing modes: timed exposure (TE) mode, and continuous clocking (CC) mode. Data obtained in the TE mode allow for two-dimensional imaging. Accurate spectroscopy of bright targets, however, is limited due to pulse pileup. Timing studies are limited by the total number of photons collected and the 3.24 s time resolution. In the CC mode, the amount of pileup is negligible because of the 2.85 ms time resolution, allowing for accurate spectroscopy

Target Object	Observation ID	Date	ACIS-S Mode	Time Resolution	Exposure Time (ks)	Detected	
4U 1708-40	661	2000 May 15	TE	0.841 s	1.2	Y	
2S 1711–339	662	2000 Jun 9	TE	0.841 s	1.0	Y	
	2695	2002 Mar 12	CC	2.85 ms	4.7	Ν	
SLX 1735-269	664	2000 Apr 4	CC^{a}	2.85 ms	1.4	Y	
	663	2000 May 23	TE	0.841 s	1.7	Y	
GRS 1736–297	665	2000 May 31	TE	0.841 s	1.3	Ν	
	666	2000 Jul 7	CC	2.85 ms	12.2	Ν	
KS 1739–304	2696	2002 Apr 27	CC	2.85 ms	3.4	Ν	
	2697	2002 May 5	TE	3.24 s	0.8	Ν	
SLX 1746-331	667	2000 Jun 9	TE	0.841 s	1.7	Ν	
	668	2000 Jul 24	CC	2.85 ms	4.1	Ν	
1E 1746.7-3224	669	2000 Aug 30	TE	3.24 s	6.8	Y	
	2698	2002 Jul 15-16	TE	0.841 s	8.5	Y	
4U 1812–12	670	2000 Jun 14	TE	3.24 s	1.0	Y	

 TABLE 2

 CHANDRA OBSERVATIONS

^a This observation fell on the S2 chip, rather than on the standard S3 chip.

and timing. Unfortunately, only one of the sources, SLX 1735–269, was detected in the CC mode.

The best-known location for each object was positioned on the nominal target position of ACIS-S3, a backilluminated CCD on the spectroscopic array (ACIS-S) with good charge transfer efficiency and spectral resolution, with the exception of the CC mode observation of SLX 1735-269, which fell on ACIS-S2, a front-illuminated CCD on the spectroscopic array (ACIS-S). Standard processing was performed by the Chandra data center. The data were filtered to exclude events with grades 1, 5, 7, hot pixels, bad columns, and events on CCD node boundaries. Analysis in this paper was done using Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observations (CIAO)⁵ version 2.2.1 and Chandra Calibration Database (CALDB)⁶ version 2.10. For piled-up sources, the tool acis_detect_afterglow in the standard processing pipeline can reject valid source photons in addition to afterglow photons resulting from residual charge from cosmic-ray events in the CCD pixels. We examined the spatial distribution of afterglow events for each observation using methods described in the CIAO documentation.⁷ For TE mode observations of 2S 1711-339 and 1E 1746.7–3224 we found that $\geq 5\%$ of events consistent with the point source had been rejected; hence, we reprocessed the data to retain the afterglow-flagged events. For all other observations, less than 1% of events were rejected, so the standard processing was retained.

2.1. Source Locations

Using TE mode data, we extracted source locations for each of the detected sources. These locations are listed in Table 3. Sources were located by one of two methods: (1) 2S 1711–339 and 1E 1746.7–3224 were located using the CIAO tool *wavdetect*. The latter source was observed twice in TE mode, and locations from both observations were

⁶ Additional information is available at http://cxc.harvard.edu/caldb/ index.html. consistent. (2) 4U 1708–40, SLX 1735–269, and 4U 1812–12 were brighter and suffered from considerable pileup of photons in the image core, resulting in a source that looked ring-shaped with a hole in the center. The CIAO Detect tools were inadequate to provide a good location of the centroid; therefore, we modeled the data using a Gaussian function multiplied by a hyperbolic tangent in radius, scaled to approach zero at r = 0.0 (Hulleman et al. 2001). The uncertainty of these locations is limited by systematic effects to a circle with an ~0".6 radius (Aldcroft et al. 2000). No other known sources were found in the images; hence, we were unable to use astrometry to further improve the locations.

2.2. Detection Upper Limits

Three objects, GRS 1736–297, SLX 1746–331, and KS 1739–304, went undetected in all observations. To derive upper limits for these sources, we used the CIAO tool *dmextract* to extract count rates for a 6" radius source region and a background annulus (inner radius = 6"; outer radius = 30") centered on the best-known position. The 99% confidence upper limits were count rates of 4.9×10^{-3} , 3.7×10^{-3} , and 6.2×10^{-3} counts s⁻¹ (total counts = 6.0, 5.8, and 4.6) for GRS 1736–297, SLX 1746–331, and

TABLE 3Source Locations (J2000.0)

Object	Right Ascension	Declination
4U 1708–40	17 12 23.83	-40 50 34.0
2S 1711–339	17 14 19.78	-340247.3
SLX 1735-269	17 38 17.12	-26 59 38.6
1E 1746.7-3224 ^a	17 50 3.90	-322550.4
ь	17 50 3.95	-322550.1
4U 1812–12	18 15 06.18	-120547.1

Note.—For all objects the 90% confidence error radius is $\simeq 0$ % on-axis. Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds.

^a 2000 Aug 30 observation.

^b 2002 Jul 15-16 observation.

⁵ Additional information is available at http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/.

⁷ Additional information is available at http://asc.harvard.edu/ciao/ threads/acisdetectafterglow/.

Object	Date	Type ^a	$N_{\rm H}$ (10 ²² cm ⁻²)	Index	$lpha^{b}$	$\chi^2/{ m dof}$	Flux (1–10 keV) (10 ^{–11} ergs cm ⁻² s ^{–1})
4U 1708–40	2000 May 15	Tr	3.3 ± 0.5	1.9 ± 0.3		14.2/15	87
28 1711-339	2000 Jun 9	TE	1.5 ± 0.3	1.9 ± 0.2	0.64 ± 0.02	11.8/18	4.4
		Tr	0.9 ± 0.4	1.9 ± 0.7		2.8/2	11
	2002 Mar 12	CC	1.4 ^c	1.9 ^c			$\leq 0.01^{d}$
SLX 1735-269	2000 Apr 4	CC	1.70 ± 0.05	2.07 ± 0.04		291.4/255	19
	2000 May 23	Tr	1.8 ± 0.5	2.2 ± 0.6		13.1/10	21
GRS 1736–297	2000 May 31	TE	1.1°	1.8 ^c			$\lesssim 0.008^{d}$
KS 1739-304	2002 May 5	TE	1.4 ^c	1.5 ^c			$\lesssim 0.01^{d}$
SLX 1746-331	2000 Jun 9	TE	0.4 ^c	1.5 ^e			$\lesssim 0.002^{d}$
1E1746.7-3224	2000 Aug 30	TE	2.0 ± 0.1	1.54 ± 0.06	0.96 ± 0.02	40.2/44	2.1
	2002 Jul 15-16	TE	1.5 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1	0.51 ± 0.06	186.1/183	3.2
		Tr	1.3 ± 0.7	1.2 ± 0.7		0.1/1	3.3
4U 1812–12	2000 Jun 14	Tr	1.1 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.3		16.4/9	44

NOTE.—Errors on spectral fitting parameters are 1 σ .

^a Tr = Trailed image; TE = Timed exposure mode (with pileup model); CC = Continuous clocking mode.

^b Pileup parameter.

c Assumed spectral parameter.

^d Indicates a 99% confidence upper limit from WebPIMMS.

^e Thermal bremsstrahlung spectrum with kT = 1.5 keV assumed.

KS 1739–304, respectively. Using WebPIMMS,⁸ we estimated an upper limit 1–10 keV absorbed flux of 7.5×10^{-14} ergs cm^{-2} s⁻¹ for GRS 1736–297. assuming $N_{\rm H} = 1.1 \times 10^{22}$ cm⁻², derived using COLDEN,⁹ a Web tool based on Dickey & Lockman (1990), and a power-law photon index = 1.8 (Pavilinsky, Grebenev, & Sunyaev 1992). Similarly, for SLX 1746-331 we estimated an upper limit 1–10 keV absorbed flux of 2.0×10^{-14} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹, assuming $N_{\rm H} = 0.4 \times 10^{22}$ cm⁻², using COLDEN and a thermal bremsstrahlung spectrum with kT = 1.5 keV (Skinner et al. 1990). For \overline{KS} 1739–304, we estimated an upper limit 1–10 keV absorbed flux of 1.2×10^{-13} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹, assuming $N_{\rm H} = 1.4 \times 10^{22}$ cm⁻², using COLDEN, and a power-law photon index = 1.5. A fourth object, 2S 1711–339, which was detected in a TE mode observation in 2000, went undetected in the 2002 CC mode observation. To derive an upper limit for it, we again used *dmextract*, with a 6" radius source region and a background annulus (inner radius = 6''; outer radius = 30'') centered on the Chandra position (shifted to correspond to the onedimensional CC mode image) from the 2000 observation, to obtain 99% confidence upper limits of 6.5×10^{-3} counts s⁻¹ or a total of 30.3 counts for the observation. Using WebPIMMS with our spectral parameters from the 2000 observation (Table 4), we estimate a 1-10 keV upper limit absorbed flux of 1×10^{-13} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹, a factor of more than 100 fainter than in the 2000 observation. In the 2002 May 5 observation of KS 1739–304 an object was present at R.A. = $17^{h}42^{m}41^{s}.07$, decl. = $-30^{\circ}22'39''.3$, approximately 8/2 from the expected location of KS 1739–304. We measured a total of approximately 45 counts from this object in the 745 s observation. Given its large separation from KS 1739–304 and the fact that it is well outside the 1/6 radius Mir-Kvant error circle (Sunyaev et al. 1991), the two objects are most likely unrelated.

2.3. Energy Spectra

Energy spectra were difficult to study for most of these sources because in all but one of the observations where a source was detected, we had only imaging (TE) mode data, and pileup was a problem. For these sources we used two approaches to extract and fit the energy spectra: (1) direct extraction from the TE mode data, fitting the data using the pileup model, and (2) extraction of trailed image spectra. To directly extract spectra and associated response files for each source, we used a source region consisting of a 6''radius circle and a background region consisting of an annulus with inner and outer radii of 6" and 30", respectively, in the CIAO tool psextract. These spectra were then fitted using XSPEC 11.2.10 For all sources, we fitted data for energies from 0.3 to 10.0 keV using an absorbed power-law model with pileup (phabs*powerlaw*pileup). For most sources we found that the point-spread function fraction treated for pileup (parameter 5) in the pileup model needed to be reduced to 0.85 from its default value of 0.95 to allow the grade-morphing parameter (parameter 4) to not be pegged at 1.0 and to allow the model to fit the data at higher energies. For some sources, the pileup model had no effect on the spectral fits, even though the source was obviously piled-up; hence, we used trailed images to characterize those spectra. Results of our fits with the pileup model are listed in Table 4. Figure 1 shows examples of spectra before and after the pileup model is applied.

Trailed images were extracted using the CIAO tool *acisreadcorr*. During each row transfer, the detector is exposed to the source for 40 μ s, but the photons are recorded in the wrong y position, resulting in a streak along the x-axis. We calculated the total transfer streak exposure time using the following expression:

$$t_{\text{trail}}^{\text{exposure}} = t_1 (1024 f_{\text{sub}} - dy) t_{\text{exposure}} / t_2 , \qquad (1)$$

⁸ Additional information is available at http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/ Tools/w3pimms.html.

⁹Additional information is available at http://asc.harvard.edu/toolkit/COLDEN.jsp.

¹⁰ Additional information is available at http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/software/lheasoft/xanadu/xspec/index.html.



FIG. 1.—Energy spectra extracted from TE mode images, fitted using an absorbed power-law model (*dotted line*) and a piled-up absorbed power law (*solid line*) for 2S 1711–339 (*left*) and 1E 1746.7–3224 (*right*).

where $t_1 = 40 \ \mu$ s, the time to transfer charge from one row to the next; 1024 is the number of pixels in a row; $f_{sub} = \frac{1}{4}$ is the subarray fraction; dy = 50 is the number of pixels excluded near the source; $t_{exposure}$ is the total exposure time in seconds; and $t_2 = 0.841040$ s, the time for one readout of the subarray. Spectra were extracted using the CIAO tool *psextract* with the source region defined as two boxes along the *y*-axis, each 6 pixels wide in the *x*-direction. The two boxes included the entire *y*-axis, except a region ± 25 pixels from the *y*-coordinate of the piled-up source. The EXPOSURE and BACKSCAL keywords in the spectra were corrected for using the results of the CIAO tool *acisreadcorr* and the calculation above. The resulting exposure times and total counts measured from the source in each trailed image are listed in Table 5.

For five of the observations, trailed image spectra could be extracted. We grouped the source spectra into bins containing 15 counts. Then these spectra were fitted in XSPEC 11.2 with an absorbed power-law model (phabs*powerlaw). For two of the sources, 2S 1711–339 and 1E 1746.7–3224, very few counts remained in the trailed image spectra. The results of fitting trailed image spectra are listed in Table 4, and examples are shown in Figure 2.

For one of the sources, SLX 1735–269, energy spectra were extracted from CC mode data taken on 2000 April 4. A source region consisting of a 6" radius circle and a background region consisting of an annulus with inner and outer radii of 6" and 30", respectively, was used in the CIAO tool *psextract* to extract source and background spectra for the CC mode data and to create associated detector response

 TABLE 5

 Trailed Image Spectra Exposure and Source Counts

Object	Date	Exposure (s)	Total Source Counts
4U 1708-40	2000 May 15	11.2	412
2S 1711-339	2000 Jun 9	8.84	86
SLX 1735-269	2000 May 23	16.0	228
1E 1746.7-3224	2002 Jul 15-16	79.4	117
4U 1812–12	2000 Jun 14	11.9	312

files. We then grouped the data in the source spectrum so that each bin contained 25 counts. This observation fell on the ACIS-S2 chip, a front-illuminated chip, so the low-energy response was reduced. In XSPEC 11.2 (Arnaud 1996), we fitted data for energies of 1.0–1.8 and 2.2–9.0 keV with an absorbed power-law model (phabs*powerlaw). Fit results are listed in Table 4 and are shown in Figure 3.

The CC mode observations of SLX 1735–269 resulted in the largest number of source counts of any of our sources. Hence, we used these observations to investigate whether more complicated spectral models are warranted. For example, Migliari et al. (2003) reported an iron line with an energy of 6.5 ± 0.1 keV, fixed width 0.9 keV, and an equivalent width of 344 eV in addition to an absorbed power law in observations of 4U 1708–408. To search for evidence of a similar line in SLX 1735–269, we included a Gaussian with a fixed centroid at 6.5 keV and a fixed width of 0.9 keV. Our fit resulted in a 3 σ upper limit on the equivalent width of 975 eV, indicating that we had insufficient statistics to detect such a line.

Next, since a soft thermal component has been observed in several LMXBs we fitted a blackbody in addition to the absorbed power law. The fit gave $\chi^2 = 278.2$ with 253 degrees of freedom (dof). An *F*-test comparing this fit with the absorbed power law had a value of 6.02 and a probability of 2.8×10^{-3} , suggesting that a softer component may be present. The resulting fit parameters were $N_{\rm H} = (1.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{22}$ cm⁻², blackbody temperature $kT = 0.56 \pm 0.03$ keV, blackbody normalization $(R_{\rm bb}/d_{10 \rm kpc})^2 = 71 \pm 15$, powerlaw index = 1.4 ± 0.2 , and power-law normalization = $(2.5 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-2}$ photons keV⁻¹ cm⁻² s⁻¹ at 1 keV. Since this observation with the largest number of source counts resulted in only a suggestion of a softer component, we concluded that we had insufficient statistics to distinguish spectral models in the rest of our observations, which had significantly fewer source counts.

2.4. Timing

Previous *RXTE* observations of SLX 1735–269 with 3–25 keV fluxes $\gtrsim 3.8 \times 10^{-10}$ ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ show band-limited noise levels with fractional amplitudes of 24%–28%



FIG. 2.—Trailed image spectra for 4U 1708-408 (left) and 4U 1812-12 (right)



FIG. 3.—Continuous clocking mode energy spectrum for SLX 1735–269. This observation fell on the S2 chip, a front-illuminated CCD.

and break frequencies of 0.1–0.2 Hz (Wijnands & van der Klis 1999b; Barret et al. 2000; Belloni, Psaltis, & van der Klis 2002) and a QPO near 0.9 Hz with a fractional rms of ~5% and FWHM = 0.3–0.8 Hz. Wijnands & van der Klis (1999b) also reported a very different power spectrum from "low count rate" 1997 *RXTE* observations of SLX 1735–269 with 3–25 keV fluxes $\leq 2.8 \times 10^{-10}$ ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹, which had a break frequency of 2.3 Hz and a fractional rms of 17%. Barret et al. (2003) fitted *BeppoSAX* power spectra for 4U 1812–12 with a four-Lorentzian model after Belloni et al. (2002). The low-frequency Lorentzian, fitting the low-frequency end of the band-limited noise, had a fractional amplitude of 9.8% rms and a break frequency of 0.15 Hz. A low-frequency QPO was also detected with *BeppoSAX* at 0.73 Hz with a fractional amplitude of 3.9% rms.

We computed the sensitivity of our *Chandra* observations to features in the power spectrum using

$$r = (2N_{\sigma})^{1/2} \frac{(S+B)^{1/2}}{S} \left(\frac{\Delta\nu}{T}\right)^{1/4},$$
 (2)

where r is the fractional rms of the signal; N_{σ} is the significance of the expected detection; S + B is the total observed count rate; S is the source count rate; $\Delta \nu$ is the FWHM of the signal, which is approximately equal to twice the break frequency obtained with a broken power-law model for band-limited noise (see Belloni et al. 2002); and T is the exposure time. For TE mode observations of SLX 1735–269, 4U 1708–408, 2S 1711–339, 4U 1812–12, and the 2000 August 30 observation of 1E 1746.7–3224, S + B = 0.2-0.7 counts s⁻¹, S = 0.2-0.7 counts s⁻¹, and T = 950-6800 s, resulting in 3 σ sensitivities of 30%-50% rms for features with $\Delta \nu = 0.3$ Hz. The 2002 July 16 observation of 1E 1746.7–3224 was longer, with T = 8500s, and had slightly higher count rates of S + B = 1.2 and S = 1.2 counts s⁻¹, resulting in a 3 σ sensitivity of 23% rms. Our *Chandra* TE mode observations were not sensitive enough to detect broadband features at previously detected levels. However, the CC mode observation of SLX 1735–269, with S + B = 10.3 counts s⁻¹, S = 10.2 counts s⁻¹, and T = 1400 s, had a much better 3 σ sensitivity of 9.3% rms for $\Delta \nu = 0.3$ Hz. Hence, band-limited noise at levels observed in the brighter state of SLX 1735-269 (Wijnands & van der Klis 1999b; Barret et al. 2000; Belloni et al. 2002) should have been detectable in the CC mode observations; however, the observed QPO was not detectable. We estimated a 3 σ sensitivity of 18% rms for $\Delta \nu = 4.6$ Hz, corresponding to the fainter state observed by Wijnands & van der Klis (1999b), for our CC mode observation of SLX 1735–269; hence, we were unable to confirm this state with our Chandra observations.

For the CC mode observation of SLX 1735-269, we created a light curve using CIAO tools¹¹ that account for the fact that event times recorded in CC mode data were the times the events were read, not the times when the charge was deposited on the detector (Zavlin et al. 2000). We generated a power spectrum for the energy range 0.3–10 keV from the 2.85 ms CC mode light curve. No obvious variability was present in either the light curve or the power spectrum. Integrating from 0.01 to 1 Hz, we estimated a noise strength of $\sim 10\%$ rms for this observation; since this noise level was near our detection threshold, we cannot easily confirm that this was entirely source-related. However, the lack of strong band-limited noise at levels greater than 20% rms, combined with our 1–10 keV flux measurement of 1.9×10^{-10} ergs $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$, suggests that SLX 1735–269 was most likely in a state similar to the "lowest count rate" state reported in Wijnands & van der Klis (1999b).

2.5. UKIRT Images

We obtained images of SLX 1735-269, 1E 1746.7-3224, and 4U 1812-12 using the United Kingdom Infrared Telescope (UKIRT) to search for near-infrared counterparts. For SLX 1735-269, the seeing was approximately 0"7, the air mass was 1.46, and the upper limit on the presence of a star in the *Chandra* error circle was J > 19.4. The astrometric solution was performed using five stars from the 2MASS in the J catalog. The fit was good, with an rms of 0"26. The astrometric accuracy of the 2MASS Catalog is less than 0".2. For 1E 1746.7-3224 the seeing was approximately 0",6, the air mass was 1.64, and the upper limit for a source in the *Chandra* error circle was J > 19.6. The astrometric solution was performed using eight stars from the 2MASS in the J catalog. The fit was good, with an rms of 0"23. There was no 2MASS image available for 4U 1812–12; hence, the astrometric solution of the UKIRT image of the field containing 4U 1812–12 (seeing ~ 0.6 ; air mass 1.18) was obtained by identifying four USNO-A1.0 stars in an optical Digital Sky Survey (DSS) image with a near-infrared star. However, with an rms of 0".8 the astrometric solution was poor. A star appears to be present near the error circle, but its *J*-band magnitude is difficult to assess since we have no *J*-band magnitudes for other stars in the field for comparison.

3. DISCUSSION

Using Chandra we observed eight faint, little-studied likely LMXBs. Of the eight systems, we detected five in at least one observation: 4U 1708-408, 2S 1711-339, SLX 1735–269, 1E 1746.7–3224, and 4U 1812–12. The Chandra observations reported in this paper resulted in precise locations for all five of these objects, allowing for future deep optical and infrared observations. UKIRT images of SLX 1735-269, 1E 1746.7-3224, and 4U 1812-12 did not reveal counterparts in the J band. Energy spectra for these five systems were generally consistent, with hard power laws (with photon index 1.5–2) typical for faint LMXBs (van der Klis 1994; van Paradijs & van der Klis 1994; Barret, McClintock, & Grindlay 1996 and references therein). Absorbed fluxes (1-10 keV) for the detected sources ranged $(2.1-89) \times 10^{-11}$ ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹. The relatively narrow range of power-law indices and observed fluxes suggest that all five of the detected systems may be in a similar state.

Energy spectra and fluxes for 4U 1708-408, SLX 1735–269, and 4U 1812–12 were consistent with previous measurements in similar energy bands, supporting earlier findings that these systems are persistent. We observed SLX 1735-269 at a 1–10 keV flux level of 2×10^{-10} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ in both observations. This, combined with the lack of detection of strong band-limited noise in the CC mode observation, suggests that SLX 1735-269 was in a similar state to the "low count rate" state reported in Wijnands & van der Klis (1999b). The energy spectrum and flux, measured using the pileup model with Chandra on 2000 June 9 for 2S 1711-339, were consistent with that measured with the BeppoSAX NFI on 2000 February 29 and with BeppoSAX WFC upper limits on 2000 March 22, suggesting that we were observing either an extended tail of the bright 1998–1999 outburst observed with BeppoSAX and RXTE or a faint outburst. An estimate of the 2-6 keV flux reported in Cornelisse et al. (2002) from the 2000 June 9 Chandra observation was a factor of $\gtrsim 10$ fainter than the flux we measured with Chandra for the same observation. We believe that Cornelisse et al. (2002) did not properly account for the effects of pulse pileup. In our second Chandra observation of 2S 1711-339 in 2002 March it had faded below detectability in the CC mode. 1E 1746.7-3224, the least-studied of our five detected sources, and the only one of the five not to have previously shown X-ray bursts, was observed with Chandra at absorbed 1-10 keV fluxes of 2.1×10^{-11} and $(3.2-3.3) \times 10^{-11}$ ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ in 2000 and 2002, respectively. In the RASS (Voges et al. 1999), 1E 1746.7-3224 was measured at a count rate of 0.08 counts s^{-1} in the Position Sensitive Proportional Counter (PSPC). This corresponds to an absorbed 1-10 keV flux of $(2-3) \times 10^{-11}$ ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹, if the spectral shape we measured with Chandra is assumed. These fluxes are a factor of ~ 10 higher than that observed in the *Einstein* Galactic plane survey (Hertz & Grindlay 1984). The similarity of the *Chandra* and *ROSAT* fluxes suggests this source is persistent, and the *Einstein* measurement suggests that it, like most persistent sources, is also variable. The three undetected systems, GRS 1736-297, KS 1739-304, and SLX 1746-331, had shown previous transient behavior.

¹¹ Additional information is available at http://asc.harvard.edu/ciao/ threads/aciscctoa/.

These nondetections were not due to positional errors. Upper limits on their fluxes were $(0.2-1.2) \times 10^{-13}$ ergs $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$, 2–3 orders of magnitude fainter than our faintest detection. If these sources were located at the Galactic center, at a distance of 8 kpc, then these fluxes would correspond to luminosities of $(2-9) \times 10^{32} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$.

The United Kingdom Infrared Telescope (UKIRT) is operated by the Joint Astronomy Centre on behalf of the

- Aldcroft, T. L., Karovska, M., Cresitello-Ditmar, M. L., Cameron, R. A., & Markevitch, M. L. 2000, Proc. SPIE, 4012, 650
 Arnaud, K. A. 1996, in ASP Conf. Ser. 101, Astronomical Data Analysis
- Software and Systems V, ed. G. Jacoby & J. Barnes (San Francisco: ASP), 17
- Barret, D., McClintock, J. E., & Grindlay, J. E. 1996, ApJ, 473, 963 Barret, D., Olive, J. F., & Oosterbroek, T. 2003, A&A, 400, 643 Barret, D., et al. 2000, ApJ, 533, 329 Bazzano, A., et al. 1997, IAU Circ., 6668, 2

- Belloni, T., Psaltis, D., & van der Klis, M. 2002, ApJ, 572, 392
- Carpenter, G. F., Eyles, C. J., Skinner, G. K., Wilson, A. M., & Willmore, A. P. 1977, MNRAS, 179, 27P
- Cherepashchuk, A. M., et al. 1994, A&A, 289, 419 Cocchi, M., et al. 2000, A&A, 357, 527 Cornelisse, R., et al. 2002, A&A, 392, 885 David, P., et al. 1997, A&A, 322, 229

- David, P., et al. 1997, A&A, 322, 229 Dickey, J. M., & Lockman, F. J. 1990, ARA&A, 28, 215 Elvis, M., et al. 1992, ApJS, 80, 257 Esin, A. A., et al. 2001, ApJ, 555, 483 Forman, W., Jones, C., & Tananbaum, H. 1976, ApJ, 206, L29 Forman, W., et al. 1978, ApJS, 38, 357 Goldwurm, A., et al. 1996, A&A, 310, 857 Grebenev, S. A. Pavilinsky, M. N. & Sunyaey, R. A. 1996, j

- Grebenev, S. A., Pavilinsky, M. N., & Sunyaev, R. A. 1996, in Proc. 2nd INTEGRAL Workshop: The Transparent Universe (ESA SP-382; Noordwijk: ESA), 183 Greenhill, J. G., Thomas, R. M., & Duldig, M. L. 1979, Nature, 279, 620
- Hertz, P., & Grindlay, J. E. 1984, ApJ, 278, 137

UK Particle Physics and Astronomy Research Council, and some of the data reported here were obtained as part of the UKIRT Service Programme. C. K. and S. P. are party supported by Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory grants GO0-1054A and GO2-3046B. W. H. G. L. is grateful for support from NASA.

- REFERENCES
 - Hulleman, F., Tennant, A. F., van Kerkwijk, M. H., Kulkarni, S. R., Kouveliotou, C., & Patel, S. K. 2001, ApJ, 563, L49 Migliari, S., et al. 2003, MNRAS, 342, 909

 - Mignari, S., et al. 2003, MINKAS, 342, 909
 Motch, C., et al. 1998, A&AS, 132, 341
 Murakami, T., et al. 1983, PASJ, 35, 531
 Pavilinsky, M. N., Grebenev, S. A., & Sunyaev, R. A. 1992, Soviet Astron. Lett., 18, 88
 - . 1994, ApJ, 425, 110 Skinner, G. K., Foster, A. J., Willmore, A. P., & Eyles, C. J. 1990, MNRAS, 243, 72
 - Skinner, G. K., et al. 1987, Nature, 330, 544

 - Sunyaev, R., et al. 1991, Adv. Space Res., 11, 177 Tanaka, Y., & Lewin, W. H. G. 1995, in X-Ray Binaries, ed. W. H. G. Lewin, J. van Paradijs, & E. P. J. van den Heuvel (New York: Cambridge Univ. Press), 126
 - van der Klis, M. 1994, ApJS, 92, 511

 - van Paradijs, J. 1995, in X-Ray Binaries, ed. W. H. G. Lewin, J. van Paradijs, & E. P. J. van den Heuvel (New York: Cambridge Univ. Press), 536

 - Zavlin, V. E., Pavlov, G. G, Sanwal, D., & Trümper, J. 2000, ApJ, 540, L25