Downloaded from UvA-DARE, the institutional repository of the University of Amsterdam (UvA)
http://dare.uva.nl/document/356896

File ID	356896
Filename	Table of contents

SOURCE (OR PART OF THE FOLLOWING SOURCE):

Type	Dissertation
Title	The missing keystone of income tax treaties
Author	J.C. Wheeler
Faculty	Faculty of Law
Year	2012
Pages	xii, 434

FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC DETAILS:
http://dare.uva.nl/record/411443

Copyright

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (http://dare.uva.nl)

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Introduction 1

Chapter 2: Issues and Solutions within the Current Treaty Framework 3

2.1. Introduction 3
2.2. The treaty claimant 4
2.3. Residence 6
2.3.1. The general definition – unlimited liability to tax 6
2.3.2. Tax-exempt persons 7
2.3.3. Liability to which tax? 9
2.3.4. The policy behind the residence requirement 9
2.3.5. Conclusion 12
2.4. Attribution of income 13
2.4.1. Domestic law 13
2.4.2. The OECD Model 17
2.4.3. Beneficial ownership 19
2.4.4. Partnership Report principles 23
2.4.5. Concluded treaties 25
2.4.6. Conclusion 29

Chapter 3: Fundamental Issues with the Current Structure of Treaties 33

3.1. Introduction 33
3.2. Subjective/objective nature of treaties 33
3.2.1. OECD Model 33
3.2.2. Economic double taxation – the subjective or objective nature of the treaty distributive rules 35
3.2.3. Vicarious treaty benefits 40
3.2.4. Conclusion 42
3.3. Liability to tax on a specific item of income 43
3.3.1. Liability to tax on specific income as a negative factor 43
3.3.2. Liability to tax on specific income as a positive factor 44
3.3.3. Separation of ownership and tax liability 46
3.3.4. Multiple attributions – or none 49
3.3.5. Role of attribution in treaty entitlement 51
3.4. Conclusion – the missing keystone 52

Chapter 4: A New Approach 55

4.1. Introduction 55
4.1.1. The new approach in outline 56
4.1.2. Supporting factors – margins of discretion 58
4.2. The treaty claimant 60
4.2.1. In general 60
4.2.2. Permanent establishments 62
4.3. Liability to tax 64
4.3.1. The basic principle 64
4.3.2. The sufficiency of the tax liability 67
4.3.3. Fragmented and dislocated tax liability 68
4.4. The supporting factors in the residence state 70
4.4.1. The connection between the income and the person 71
4.4.2. The connection between the person and the state 73
4.4.3. Business receipts 78
4.5. Treaty protection without liability to tax 79
4.5.1. Tax-exempt persons and income 80
4.5.2. Potential liability to tax 81
4.6. The residence state 83
4.7. Attribution conflicts in the new approach 85
4.7.1. Hierarchy of attributions 86
4.7.2. The triangular case 87
4.7.3. Source and residence state attributions 88
4.7.4. Permanent establishments 90
4.7.5. Double attribution within one state 91
4.8. Fragmented treaty entitlement 92
4.8.1. Separation of direct ownership and tax liability 92
4.8.2. Fragments within one state 94
4.8.3. Fragments in different states 96
4.9. Artificial ownership structures 97
4.9.1. Introduction 97
4.9.2. Conduit structures 98
4.9.3. Base erosion 101
4.9.4. Identification of the target structures 102
4.9.5. Solutions 103

Chapter 5: The New Approach Applied 107

5.1. Flow-through situations 107
5.1.1. Case 4600 107
5.1.2. Ruling 17 of 2006 109

5.1.3.	*Diebold* case	110
5.2.	Double attributions	112
5.2.1.	*Aznavour* case	112
5.2.1.1.	The actual decision	113
5.2.1.2.	The new approach	114
5.2.2.	*Russell* case and *Willoughby* case	115
5.2.2.1.	The actual decisions	116
5.2.2.2.	The new approach applied to the *Russell* and *Willoughby* cases	118
5.2.2.3.	The further consequences of the new approach in the *Russell* case	120
5.2.3.	*Padmore* case	123
5.2.3.1.	The actual decision	123
5.2.3.2.	The new approach	124
5.2.4.	*Smallwood* case	125
5.2.4.1.	The actual decision	125
5.2.4.2.	The new approach	128
5.2.5.	*Bayfine* case	131
5.2.6.	CFC and comparable regimes	133
5.3.	Fragmented treaty entitlement	135
5.3.1.	Fragmentation within one state	136
5.3.1.1.	*TD Securities* case	136
5.3.1.2.	S-corporation case	138
5.3.1.3.	*Linklaters* case	139
5.3.2.	Cross-border fragmentation	141
5.3.2.1.	BNB 1990/45	141
5.3.2.2.	The new approach	142
5.3.3.	Other fragmentations	144
5.4.	Trusts	145
5.4.1.	Introduction	145
5.4.2.	Different domestic systems	146
5.4.2.1.	Representative liability	148
5.4.2.2.	Initial choice system	149
5.4.2.3.	Credit system	150
5.4.2.4.	Deduction system	152
5.4.3.	Treaty entitlement of trustees	153
5.4.4.	Settlor taxation	156

Chapter 6: Conclusion 159

6.1.	The problems with the current approach	159
6.2.	The new approach	160

6.2.1. The essence of the new approach 160
6.2.2. Issues with the new approach 162
6.3. The way forward 163

Appendix I: Draft Treaty Text and Commentary 165

Appendix II: Domestic Law of the Netherlands and the United Kingdom in Respect of the Attribution of Income to a Person 183

1. Introduction 183
1.1. The aim of this study 183
1.2. The scope of the study 185
1.3. Structure of the study 187
2. Basic principles in the Netherlands and the United Kingdom 187
2.1. Introduction 187
2.2. The Netherlands 190
2.3. The United Kingdom 194
2.3.1. Background 194
2.3.2. Attribution criteria 196
3. Income from assets 199
3.1. The importance of ownership 200
3.1.1. Gains from the disposal of an asset 201
3.1.2. Income from an asset 203
3.1.2.1. The Netherlands 204
3.1.2.2. The United Kingdom 206
3.1.3. Contractual arrangements 208
3.2. Divided ownership 209
3.2.1. Trusts 210
3.2.1.1. The trust concept 210
3.2.1.2. Taxation in the United Kingdom – basic principles 211
3.2.1.3. Taxation in the United Kingdom – further consideration 213
3.2.1.4. Taxation in the Netherlands 218
3.2.2. Usufruct 221
3.2.3. Economic ownership 223
3.2.3.1. The Netherlands 223
3.2.3.2. The United Kingdom 227
3.2.3.3. Beneficial ownership in the United Kingdom 229
3.3. Short-term ownership of shares 230
3.3.1. Case law responses 231
3.3.2. Netherlands legislative response 233

3.3.3.	UK legislative response	235
3.4.	Indirect ownership and ownership equivalents	236
3.4.1.	The Netherlands	236
3.4.1.1.	Certification of shares	236
3.4.1.2.	Interposed companies	237
3.4.1.3.	Foundations	242
3.4.2.	The United Kingdom	243
3.4.2.1.	Capital gains	243
3.4.2.2.	Recurrent income – CFC regime and "transfer of assets abroad" scheme	246
3.5.	Separation of income from the asset	248
3.5.1.	Gift of income	249
3.5.1.1.	The Netherlands	249
3.5.1.2.	The United Kingdom	251
3.5.2.	Sale of income	255
3.5.2.1.	The Netherlands	255
3.5.2.2.	The United Kingdom	256
4.	Active income	258
4.1.	Employment income	258
4.1.1.	Payment to a person other than the employee	259
4.1.1.1.	The Netherlands	260
4.1.1.2.	The United Kingdom	261
4.1.2.	Alienation of employment income	262
4.1.3.	Personal companies (disguised employment)	264
4.1.3.1.	The Netherlands	265
4.1.3.2.	The United Kingdom	266
4.2.	Business profit	267
4.2.1.	Basic approaches	267
4.2.2.	The importance of carrying on the business	269
4.2.3.	Enabling another person to make a business profit	271
4.2.4.	Carrying on a business in order to benefit another person	273
4.2.5.	Shifting business profit	275
4.2.5.1.	The Netherlands	275
4.2.5.2.	The United Kingdom	278
4.2.6.	Integrated businesses	280
4.2.6.1.	The Netherlands	281
4.2.6.2.	The United Kingdom	282
4.2.6.3.	Comparison	283
5.	Factors in the attribution of income	284
5.1.	Legal entitlement	285
5.1.1.	Introduction	285

5.1.2.	The Netherlands	286
5.1.2.1.	Dividend tax	286
5.1.2.2.	Employment income	287
5.1.2.3.	Other categories of income	288
5.1.3.	The United Kingdom	290
5.1.3.1.	Capital gains tax and corporation tax	290
5.1.3.2.	Income tax	292
5.2.	Economic entitlement	294
5.2.1.	Introduction	294
5.2.2.	The Netherlands	295
5.2.2.1.	Dividend tax	295
5.2.2.2.	General principle	296
5.2.2.3.	Whose benefit?	298
5.2.3.	The United Kingdom	299
5.2.3.1.	Capital gains tax and corporation tax	299
5.2.3.2.	Income tax	300
5.2.3.3.	Whose benefit?	302
5.3.	Receipt	304
5.3.1.	Introduction	304
5.3.2.	What is receipt?	304
5.3.2.1.	What is a payment?	304
5.3.2.2.	Indirect receipt	305
5.3.2.3.	Constructive receipt	307
5.3.3.	Receipt as an attribution factor	308
5.3.3.1.	The Netherlands	308
5.3.3.2.	The United Kingdom	309
5.4.	Control	312
5.4.1.	Introduction	312
5.4.2.	Control over the creation of income	313
5.4.3.	Control over the selection of beneficiaries	315
5.4.4.	Control over assets	316
5.4.5.	Control over the application of income	319
5.4.5.1.	Whether there is taxable income	319
5.4.5.2.	Control over application as an attribution factor	321
5.4.5.3.	Structural limits on control over the application of income	324
5.4.5.4.	Obligations attached to income	326
5.5.	Alienation of income	328
5.5.1.	Which types of income can be alienated?	328
5.5.2.	When is alienation effective for tax purposes?	331
5.5.2.1.	General requirements	331
5.5.2.2.	Sale of income – the relevance of the sale price	333

5.5.2.3.	Alienation of a stream of income or of a specific payment of income	335
5.5.3.	Does an obligation to pay income amount to alienation?	338
5.5.3.1.	The Netherlands	338
5.5.3.2.	The United Kingdom	341
6.	Taxable persons	345
6.1.	Introduction	345
6.2.	No taxable person	346
6.2.1.	The Netherlands	346
6.2.1.1.	General principle	346
6.2.1.2.	Dividend tax	348
6.2.2.	The United Kingdom	350
6.3.	More than one taxable person	351
6.3.1.	The Netherlands	351
6.3.2.	The United Kingdom	353
6.3.2.1.	Introduction	353
6.3.2.2.	Anti-avoidance legislation	354
6.3.2.3.	General attribution rule	355
6.3.2.4.	Basic rate and higher rates of income tax	361
7.	Conclusion	365
7.1.	Similarities and differences	365
7.2.	Attribution factors	368
7.3.	The difficulty of defining attribution principles	370
7.4.	A final word on the international dimension	371

Summary 375

Samenvatting 383

Bibliography 393

Table of Cases 411

Table of Legislation and Official Documents 425