

File ID 352210
Filename Chapter 1: Introduction: overview of CAD/CAM-basic components and procedures

SOURCE (OR PART OF THE FOLLOWING SOURCE):

Type Dissertation
Title Validation procedures in computerized dentistry
Author S.T. Vlaar
Faculty Faculty of Dentistry
Year 2012
Pages 156

FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC DETAILS:

<http://dare.uva.nl/record/407771>

Copyright

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use.

CHAPTER 1

Introduction: Overview of CAD/CAM – basic components and procedures

Keywords: CAD/CAM, Scanning, Milling, Stereolithography, Computer Aided design (CAD), Computer Aided Manufacturing (CAM), Computer Aided Impressioning (CAI), Drill guides, Zirconia ceramics, digital veneering.

1.1 Introduction

Computerized dentistry, especially CAD/CAM, has seen a dramatic growth during the past 20 years, and which is now accepted practice and slowly grows to maturity. However, like many other developing technologies the boundaries in the field of operation are not well defined. The development of generally accepted measurement methodology is in some cases redundant or not present at all. Evidence based data need to be collected and standards need to be developed.

The application of zirconia ceramics for the fabrication of fixed partial dentures (FPDs) has expanded rapidly in the last 10 years. The CAD/CAM technology, which is required for the processing of zirconia, has been significantly improved, leading to the achievement of high quality restorations. The number of CAD/CAM manufacturers had increased to about 100 exhibitors at the last Cologne International Dental Show (IDS) [1]. The high diversity in this field warrants an overview of the properties of the different zirconia ceramics and their dental applications.

1.2 Accuracy of Dental Scanners

The emergence of different modalities for the computerized production of custom dental devices, proper validation and verification methodology for CAD/CAM systems becomes of interest to dental professionals and custom dental device manufacturers. CAD/CAM components such as the digitization system, design software and fabrication machines are medical devices that have to perform to a certain level, whereby dental device manufacturers need to prove with reasonable assurance the safety and effectiveness of the devices [2,3].

In order to manufacture a custom prosthetic device with an automatic CAD/CAM procedure the preparation surface and surroundings need to be digitized using a mechanical [3] or optical [4,5] surface measuring device. During the entire manufacturing process, each sequential step will add to final inaccuracies, which has its limits set on 50-75 microns [6, 9, 14-16, 24, 27, 39]. In evaluating the performance of integrated, closed CAD/CAM-systems results have been obtained that fulfilled this limit. May et al [15] measured the precision of fit of the crown fabricated with CAD/CAM technology for the premolar and molar teeth fit to a die and found that the mean gap dimensions for marginal openings, internal adaptation, and precision of fit for the crown groups were below 70 microns. These findings showed that the crowns studied can be prescribed with confidence knowing that the precision of fit will consistently be less than 70 microns. To remain within this generally accepted precision the accuracy and reproducibility of the first step of surface digitization needs to be considerably lower than this value. A dental surface digitization device can be defined as: a device used to

record the topographical characteristics of teeth, dental impressions, or stone models by analog or digital methods for use in the computer assisted design and manufacturing of dental restorative prosthetic devices. Accuracy is a measure for the digitizing quality of the measured points. An existing standard for characterizing “Digitizing quality” of coordinate measuring machines has already been devised in an international standard [19], but the test methods are laborious and not dedicated to the geometries and undercut measurements that are encountered in dental surface digitization. Many investigators have developed methods to evaluate the fit of restorations in-vitro [11, 17, 22, 25, 26, 30-39, 103, 126].

The first objective is to find a value for the measurement error of digitized dental surfaces by testing a new statistical evaluation method on two laser light section triangulation scanners [5].

A second objective is to evaluate whether the proposed test method using a standard artefact can serve as a dental standard for dental surface digitization devices. The test method should further provide a possibility to objectively test and compare vendor specifications [12].

The third objective was to seek suitability of the method for the development of an international ISO standard for digitizing devices used in CAD/CAM systems [23].

1.3 Approach for valuating the influence of laboratory simulation of implant placement

In the last years, dental implants faced an increasing growth of popularity. The great aesthetic rehabilitate and the tooth-saving advantages of the neighbouring teeth unlike bridges gave implants a growing demand. However, according to Massey et. al. [40] only 17.8% of the implants placed by implantologists could be classified as ‘ideal’ with regard to orientation. This brings a need of a technique or a method for precise surgical planning and accurate placing of implants.

New digital techniques could be used to improve localization and targeting of implant placement and reduce the inherent invasiveness of surgery. Verstreken et. al. [41] described a planning system for oral implant surgery based on a true three-dimensional approach which allows the interactive placement and adjustment of axial-symmetric models representing implants in the jawbone structures visible on computerized tomographic volume data and largely outperforms the manual planning practice based on two-dimensional dental computerized tomographic images printed or on film. Sarment et. al. [42] compared the accuracy of a conventional surgical guide to the of a stereolithographic surgical guide. The stereolithographical technique built surgical guides in an attempt to improve precision of implant placement. This improvement was proved. However, further studies were necessary

to validate its clinical use. Tardieu et. al. [43] presented a case of immediate loading of mandibular implants using a five-step procedure. The first step consisted of building a scannographic template, the second step consisted of taking a computerized tomographic (CT) scan and the third step consisted of implant planning using SurgiCase software. The final two steps consisted of implant placement using a drill guide created by stereolithography and placement of the prosthesis. Using a CT scan-based planning system, the surgeon was able to select the optimal locations for implant placement. By incorporating the prosthetic planning using a scannographic template, the treatment was optimized from a prosthetic point of view. Furthermore, the use of a stereolithographic drill guide allowed a physical transfer of the implant planning to the patient's mouth. The scannographic template was designed so that it could be transformed into a temporary fixed prosthesis for immediate loading and the definitive restoration was placed 3 months later. Di Giacomo et. al. [44] evaluated the match between the positions and axes of the planned and placed implants when a stereolithographic surgical guide was employed. Clinical data suggested that computer-aided rapid prototyping of surgical guides might be useful in implant placement. However, the technique required improvement to provide better stability of the guide during the surgery, in cases of unilateral bone-supported and non-tooth-supported guides. Van der Zel [45] described a newly developed implant procedure CADDIMA (Computer Diagnosis and Design of Implant Abutments) to be used to virtually place dental implants and construct a precise guide splint and temporary prosthesis for delivery at the time of implant placement. The therapy is developed to improve surgical and restorative accuracy, allowing for predictable placement of implant prosthetics taking account of loading of implants through use of CT imaging, laser optical imaging, stereolithographic guides and individualized prosthetic restoration design.

Before new digital techniques and methods get success in the world of implantology further studies and information about not only the advantages and the disadvantages, but also the indications and the contra-indications are needed. However, no study about the (possible) difference in accuracy between implant placement by manual drilling without any digital planning and guidance and implant placement by drilling with computer planning and a surgical guide (CADDIMA) had been done before.

The aim of this study was to compare the orientation differences between planned and placed implants by manual drilling and by drilling with computer planning and guidance.

1.4 Computer modelling of occlusal surfaces of posterior teeth by virtual articulation

Dental restorations should offer stability in maximum intercuspitation and not interfere eccentric movements during articulation [46-52, 73-79]. The individual movement patterns of opposing tooth surfaces during function and gliding contact movements have therefore been studied comprehensively [53-56]. These movements can be simulated in different types of articulators, using settings obtained from bite registrations or by using default values for the determinants of mandibular movement [59-72]. With this information at hand and using conventional techniques for the fabrication of cast or pressed restorations, the dental technician can build-up conventional crowns with an anatomy that facilitates comfortable occlusion and articulation.

Research with respect to CAD/CAM crowns in the past has been mainly focussed on the precision of fit of the restorations and not so much on their anatomic properties. Ideal individual crown morphology is difficult to design because it requires modeling the relation between a crown and its antagonist during oral (para)function. Some sort of virtual articulator is required. With the CYRTINA CAD/CAM technique (Computer Integrated Restorative Technology by Imaging and New Acquisition) developed at the Academic Center of Dentistry in Amsterdam, The Netherlands, however it is possible to quantify the interfering portion of the occlusal form during the design process. The protocol then suggests a new occlusal form that eliminates the excursive occlusal interferences. Starting from a generic form of a molar tooth, the software modifies the design to prevent posterior occlusal interferences with the resulting mandibular movement [57]. The most important settings concern the determinants of these contact movements, mostly analyzed in anteroposterior and transverse planes. The relative influence of these determinants on the contact movements and the linked 2D occlusal design of the premolar and molar teeth has been previously investigated. In addition to these data, a validation and quantification of the determinants in the 3D perspective is essential for clinical comprehension and application. The purpose of this study is to investigate differences in the occlusal morphology of the right mandibular molar resulting from high, average and low values of settings for determinants of anteroposterior and transverse mandibular movement using computer integrated restorative technology with imaging and new acquisition.

1.5 Comparative finite element stress analysis of zirconia and titanium abutments

Zirconia was well known in ancient civilizations as a rare gem. Its name is said to be derived from the Arabic-Persian word "Zargon" which means gold coloured stone. It was first

discovered in Germany in the seventeenth century by the chemist Martin Heinrich Klaproth. It was used in industry in areas of high chemical and mechanical stresses long before it was accepted as a biomedical material.

The introduction of 3Y-TZP zirconia as a new core material made metal free, full ceramic dental restorations possible, even in high stress areas [58, 80, 81]. Due to its mechanical and physical properties, zirconia can replace metal taking certain design parameters into consideration. Yttrium stabilized zirconia is stronger than for example titanium. The tensile strength of titanium alloys is 789-1013 MPa [82] and the tensile strength of zirconia is 1074-1166 MPa [83]. Moreover, yttrium stabilized zirconia has a high fatigue resistance caused by a martensitic transformation from tetragonal to monoclinic, which is accompanied by a volume increase of 3.5% [84]. All-ceramic restorations gained lots of attention due to their superior biocompatibility and esthetical characteristics compared to other aesthetic restorative materials which have many disadvantages as component dissolution, liquid absorption, hydrolysis, and colour change during long term service in the oral cavity [85, 98]. Although the esthetical differences between crowns on a metal or zirconia abutment are subtle, titanium has the disadvantage for dental implants of considerable bacterial accumulation on the supra-gingival part when compared to zirconia, where professional cleaning can cause damage to the relatively soft implant or supra-structure surface. Considering its (bio) material properties, zirconia has been confirmed to be a material of choice for dental prosthetic devices, and also implant-abutment systems [86, 89]. For "all zirconia implants" scientific studies are needed to fill the gaps concerning long-term clinical evaluation of these implants currently leading to propose an alternative use like a titanium implant with zirconia abutment [87].

However, the mechanical consequences of the introduction of zirconia to replace titanium have not been studied well. The influence on the stress distribution might be different for different connector systems between the implant and the abutment. Chun *et al* [88] studied the stress distribution in 1-body, internal-hex and external hex implants. However, they did not take the screw joint preload on the stresses into consideration. Considerations of abutment design and their effect on stress distribution and strength with and without fatigue loading have been reported in literature [90, 91, 96-101].

The objective of this study was to analyze with finite element analysis (FEA) the stress distribution in two implants with abutment and screw, one with an internal and one with an experimental external octagon (Dyna Dental Engineering, Bergen op Zoom, the Netherlands) with the abutment in titanium alloy or zirconia, in order to evaluate the mechanical consequences of the change of the abutment material.

1.6 Effect of design parameters on the failure strength of PRIMERO crowns

Metal-free, all-ceramic restorations have become more widely distributed due to their high esthetic potential and their excellent biocompatible properties. Today, many framework structures for prosthetic restorations are fabricated in CAD/CAM procedures, which means that a major part in the working sequence is carried out by means of industrial machines [110-115]. On the one hand, frameworks can be fabricated more efficiently. On the other hand, it is possible to achieve industrial quality standards, which are particularly important for ceramic materials. Every pore and imperfection is a potential starting point for cracks and thus for the clinical failure of ceramic restorations. The frameworks made of glass-infiltrated oxide ceramic fabricated in the slip technique exhibited large spectra of strength distribution related to the fabrication process resulting in a low-Weibull modulus. Using the same ceramic material in the form of industrial prefabricated blocks and applying the milling technique, the Weibull modulus of oxide ceramics and thus the reliability of the restorations was significantly increased. However, to-date the veneering material has been layered according to the well-known fabrication process of the metal-ceramic technique [81, 123]. According to ISO 6872 and 9693 standards a minimum flexural strength of 50 MPa for veneering glass-ceramics is required. The bond between veneering ceramic and zirconia framework is currently the subject of comprehensive investigations. The typical failure pattern of a veneering material in the daily clinical practice is known as ceramic chipping. This fracture pattern is associated with a thin layer of glass-ceramic that remains on the zirconia framework. This indicates a reliable bond of veneering ceramics to the framework, but also reveals a weakness of the veneering porcelain. A possible reason for the incidence of chippings may be found in the former limited CAD-software options by which crown and fixed dental prosthesis (FDP) frameworks could not be machined to an anatomically reduced form, offering adequate support to the veneering material. In contrast many systems could offer only uni-thickness copings for crowns as well as bar-shaped connectors for FDPs. Therefore with these systems, veneering ceramic had to be applied in thick layers to accomplish functional and esthetic demands without any cusp support. For metal-ceramic restorations, it was reported, that inadequate framework design represents one important reason for an unfavorable failure rate of the veneering material. Modern CAD/CAM-systems are able to provide a considerably better anatomically cut back framework design, thus future clinical long-term results may be more favourable [111-120, 124-139].

From an economical point of view, the esthetic and functional completion of crown and FDP frameworks involving traditional methods, such as the powder layering technique, appears to

be inefficient. One possibility for increasing the cost-effectiveness involves the industrial fabrication of veneered crowns by machining of the entire restoration by means of CAD/CAM technologies. Restorations made out of mono-blocks of either leucite-reinforced glass-ceramics with a flexural strength of around 100–150 MPa with mandatory adhesive cementation, or lithium-disilicate reinforced glass-ceramics exhibiting a flexural strength of 350–400 MPa, with the option of conventional cementation [104, 109, 122]. Therefore, the indication range is strongly limited to single crowns and small FDPs.

The combination of a CAD/CAM-fabricated framework with CAD/CAM-fabricated veneering would be of major interest. A new digital veneering procedure was developed: PRIMERO an acronym for Process for integrated Reversed Manufacturing of Esthetic Restorations for veneered all-ceramic crown restorations using a CAD/CAM-fabricated high-strength zirconia coping and a layer of porcelain veneering material [106, 140-142]. It can be assumed that the new procedure of producing a core with veneer layer by the PRIMERO CADVeneer method leads to an increase in mechanical strength compared to traditional techniques enabling a lower clinical chipping rate of the veneering material [127-168].

1.5 References

1. [WWW.IDS.com](http://www.ids.com).
2. Guidance for Industry and FDA; Class II Special Controls Guidance Document: Optical Impression Systems for Computer Assisted Design and Manufacturing (CAD/CAM) of Dental Restorations; Guidance for Industry and FDA; availability Internet site at <http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets>, last visited 1-5-2007.
3. Pelka M, Krämer N, Kunzelmann K-H. Meßfehler bei der 3D-Erfassung von Oberflächen durch mechanische Profilometrie. Dtsch Zahnärztl Z 1995; 50:725-728.
4. Pfeiffer J. Dental CAD/CAM technologies: The Optical Impression (II). Int J Comput Dent 1999; 2:65.
5. Van der Zel JM. Scanner – Wo liegen die Grenzen? Team Work, Journal of Multidisciplinary Collaboration in Prosthodontics 2003; 6, 4/03:365.
6. Brandestini M, Möhrmann W, Lutz F, Kreji I. Computer machined ceramic inlays : In vitro marginal adaptatiom. J Dent Res 1985; 64(A): Abstract 305.
7. Van der Zel JM. Ceramic-fused-to-metal restorations with a new CAD/CAM system, Quintessence 1993;24, 11:35-42.
8. Van der Zel JM, Vlaar ST, De Ruitter WJ, Davidson CL. The CICERO system for CAD/CAM fabrication of full-ceramic crowns, J Prosth Dent 2001; 85, 3:261-267.
9. Denissen HW, van der Zel JM, van Waas MAJ. Measurement of the Margins of Partial-Coverage Tooth Preparations for CAD/CAM, Int J Prosth 1999; 12, 5:395-400.
10. Van der Zel. CAD/CAM-Technologie forciert den Einsatz von Zirkonoxid in der restaurativen Zahnheilkunde, DIGITAL_DENTAL.NEWS April (2007), S. 46-53.
11. Krämer N, Kunzelmann K-H. Meßfehler bei der 3D-Erfassung von Oberflächen durch mechanische Profilometrie. Dtsch Zahnärztl Z 1995; 50:725-728.
12. Vlaar ST, Van der Zel JM. Accuracy of Dental Digitizers. Int Dent J (2006) 56, 301-309.
13. Denissen HW, Dozic A, Van der Zel JM Van Waas MAJ. Marginal fit and short-term clinical performance of porcelain veneered Procera onlays, J. Prosth Dent 2000; 85, 5:506-13.
14. Groten M, Girthofer S, Probster L. Marginal fit consistency of copy-milled all-ceramic crowns during fabrication by light and scanning electron microscopic analysis in vitro. J Oral Rehabil 1997; 24:871.
15. Peters R, Rinke S, Schäfers F. Passungsqualität CAD/CAM-gefertigter In lays in Abhängigkeit von der Kavitätenpräparation. Dtsch Zahnärztl Z 1996; 51:587.

16. Tinschert J, Natt G, Mautsch W, Spiekermann H, Anusavice K J. Marginal fit of alumina- and zirconia-based fixed partial dentures produced by a CAD/CAM system. *Oper Dent* 2001; 26:367.
17. Rudolph H, Bornemann G, Quaas S, Schöne C, Weber A, Benzinger S, Luthardt R. Innovatives Modell zur Prüfung der internen und okklusalen) Passgenauigkeit CAD/CAM-gefertigter Restaurationen. *Dtsch Zahnärztl Z* 2002; 57:540.
18. May KB, Russell MM, Razzoog ME, Lang BR. Precision of fit: the Procera AllCeram crown. *J Prosthet Dent* 1998; 80:394.
19. VDI/VDE 2617 Part 6.2 Accuracy of coordinate measuring machines - Characteristics and testing of Characteristics - Guideline for the application of DIN EN ISO 10360 to coordinate measuring machines with optical distance sensors.
20. Rudolph H, Quaas S, Luthardt RG. Matching point clouds: limits and possibilities. *Int J Comput Dent* 2002; 5:155.
21. Dahlmo KI, Andersson M, Gellerstedt M, Karlsson S. On a new method to assess the accuracy of a CAD program. *Int J Prosthodont* 2001; 14:276-83.
22. Mehl A, Gloger W, Kunzelmann K-H, Hickel R. Entwicklung eines neuen optischen Oberflächenmessgerätes zur präzisen Dreidimensionalen Zahnvermessung, *Dtsch Zahnärztl Z* 51 1996; 1:23-27.
23. ISO/CD 12836 Dentistry — Test methods for digitizing devices used in CAD/CAM systems, ISO/TC 106/SC /WG 11,2010-06-10
24. Besimo, Ch., Jeger, C., Graber, G., Guggenheim, R., Düggelin, M. Mathys, D., Jahn, M.: Marginale Passgenauigkeit computergefräster Titankronen. *Dtsch Zahnärztl Z* 50, 11 (1995).
25. Bornemann G., Lemelson, S., Claas, H., Luthardt, R.: Analyse der 3D-Passgenauigkeit von Vollkeramikkkronen (Cerec-3). *Dtsch Zahnärztl Z* 56, 619 (2001).
26. Bornemann, G., Lemelson, S., Luthardt, R.: Innovative method for the analysis of the internal 3D fitting accuracy of Cerec-3 crowns. *Int J Comput Dent* 5, 177 (2002).
27. Brandestini, M., Möhrmann, W., Lutz, F., Kreji, I. : Computer machined ceramic inlays : In vitro marginal adaptation. *J Dent Res* 64(A) : Abstract 305 (1985).
28. Casap N, Wexler A, Persky N, Schneider A, Lustmann J. Navigation surgery for dental implants: Assessment of accuracy of the image guided implantology system, *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2004 Sep;62(9 Suppl 3):116-9.
29. DeLong, R., Heinzen, M., Hodges, J.S., Ko, C.-C., Douglas, W.H., Accuracy of a System for creating 3D Computer Models of Dental Arches, *J Dent Res* 82 (6):438-442, 2003.

30. Luthardt, R., Sandkuhl, O., Brakhage, P., Kühmstedt, P., Lazarek, K., Analyse einzelner Fehlerquellen innerhalb der Verfahrenskette Abformung-Modellherstellung-Digitalisierung. *Dtsch Zahnärztl Z* 54, 627 (1999).
31. Luthardt RG, Bornemann G, Lemelson S, Walter MH, Huls A: An innovative method for evaluation of the 3-D internal fit of CAD/CAM crowns fabricated after direct optical versus indirect laser scan digitizing. *Int J Prosthodont*. 2004 Nov-Dec;17(6):680-5.
32. Mehl, A., Gloger, W., Kunzelmann, K.-H., Hickel, R., A New Optical 3-D Device for the Detection of Wear, *J Dent Res* 96(11):1799-1807, 1997.
33. Paulus, D., Wolf, M., Meller, S., Niemann, H.: Three-dimensional computer vision for tooth restoration. *Med Image Anal* 3, 1 (1999).
34. Pelka, M., Krämer, N. und Kunzelmann, K.-H.: Meßfehler bei der 3D-Erfassung von Oberflächen durch mechanische Profilometrie. *Dtsch Zahnärztl Z* 50, 725-728 (1995).
35. Peters, O.A., Laib, A., Ruegsegger, P., Barbakov, F.: Three Dimensional Analysis of Root Canal Geometry by High-resolution Computed Tomography, *J Dent Res* 79 (6):1405-1409, 2000.
36. Persson, M., Andersson, M. und Bergman, B.: The accuracy of a high-precision digitizer for CAD/CAM of crowns. *J Prosthet Dent* 74, 223 (1995).
37. Rudolph, H., Bornemann, G., Quaas, S., Schöne, C., Weber, A., Benzinger, S., Luthardt, R.: Innovatives Modell zur Prüfung der internen und okklusalen Passgenauigkeit CAD/CAM-gefertigter Restaurationen. *Dtsch Zahnärztl Z* 57, 540 (2002).
38. Rudolph H, Schöne C, Luthardt RG. Einfluss der Digitalisierung auf die erreichbare Präzision CAD/CAM-gefertigter zahnmedizinischer Restaurationen. *Dtsch Zahnärztl Z*. 59 (2) (2004), 73-77.
39. Van der Zel, J.M.: Qualität dentaler CAD/CAM-Systeme. *DIGITAL DENTAL NEWS* (2008) 2, 6-10.
40. Massey BC, Alder ME. Analyzing Implant Placement in the Posterior Maxilla. *J Dent Res* 2002; Abstr 3554.
41. Verstreken K, Van Cleynenbreugel J, Marchal G, Naert I, Suetens P, Van Steenberghe D. Computer-assisted planning of oral implant surgery: a three-dimensional approach. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 1996 Nov-Dec; 11(6):806-10.
42. Sarment DP, Sukovic P, Clinthorne N. Accuracy of implant placement with a stereolithographic surgical guide. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2003 Jul-Aug; 18(4):571-7.

43. Tardieu PB, Vrielinck L, Escolano E. Computer-assisted implant placement. A case report: treatment of the mandible. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2003 Jul-Aug; 18(4):599-604.
44. Di Giacomo GA, Cury PR, de Araujo NS, Sendyk WR, Sendyk CL Clinical application of stereolithographic surgical guides for implant placement: preliminary results. *J Periodontol*. 2005 Apr; 76(4):503-7.
45. Van der Zel. Computer Aided Diagnosis and Design of Implant Abutments. *J of Prosthodontics*; NTVT 2004 Dec; 111: 494-495.
46. Gibbs CH, Messerman T, Reswick JB. Functional movements of the mandible. *J Prosthet Dent* 1971;26:604-620.
47. Meyer FS. The generated path technique in reconstruction dentistry, part I. *J Prosthet Dent* 1959;9:354-366.
48. Meyer FS. The generated path technique in reconstruction dentistry, part II. *J Prosthet Dent* 1959;9:432-340.
49. Ingervall B, Hähner R, Kessi S. Pattern of tooth contacts in eccentric mandibular positions in young adults. *J Prosthet Dent* 1991;66:169-176.
50. Bewersdorff HJ. Elektrognathographic. *Scand J Dent Res* 1970;78:83-151.
51. Goodson JH, Johansen E. Analysis of human mandibular movement. *Monogr Oral. Sci* 1975;5:1-80.
52. Stallard H, Stuart C.E. Concepts of occlusion. *Dental Clin North Am* 1963;1:591-606.
53. Romerowski J, Bresson G. The influence of the mandibular translation. *Int J Prosthodont* 1990;2:185-201.
54. Wipf H. Pathways to occlusion: TMJ stereographic analog and mandibular movement indicator. *Dental Clin North Am* 1979;23:271-287.
55. Schulte JK, Wang SH, Erdman AG, Anderson GC. Three-dimensional analysis of cusp travel during a nonworking mandibular movement. *J Prosthet Dent* 1985; 53: 839-842.
56. Schulte JK, Wang SH, Erdman AG, Anderson GC. Working condylar movement and its effects on posterior morphology. *J Prosthet Dent* 1985;54:118-121.
57. Olthoff LW, van der Zel JM, de Ruiter WJ, Vlaar ST, Bosman F. Computer modeling of occlusal surfaces of posterior teeth with the CYRTINA CAD/CAM system. *J Prosthet Dent* 2000;84:154-162.
58. Van der Zel, J.M., Zirconia Ceramic in Dental CAD/CAM: How CAD/CAM technology enables Zirconia to replace metal in restorative dentistry, *J Dent Techn* February 200716-24.

59. Pelletier LB, Campbell SD. Comparison of condylar settings using three methods: A bench study. *J Prosthet Dent* 1991;66:193-200.
60. Lucia VO. Principles of articulation. *Dental Clin North Am* 1979;23:199-211.
61. Lundeen HC, Shryock F, Gibbs CH. An evaluation of mandibular movements: Their character and significans. *J Prosthet Dent* 1978;40:442-452.
62. Roedema WH, Knapp JG, Spencer J, Dever MK. Computer-graphic representation of mandibular movements in three dimensions. Part 1. The horizontal plane. *J Prosthet Dent* 1978;39:378-383.
63. Roedema WH, Knapp JG, Spencer J, Dever MK. Computer-graphic representation of mandibular movements in three dimensions. Part II: The sagittal and frontal planes. *J Prosthet Dent* 1978;40:385-391.
64. Ingervall B, Hähner R, Kessi S. Pattern of tooth contacts in eccentric mandibular positions in young adults. *J Prosthet Dent* 1991; 66:169-76.
65. Pankey L, Mann A. Oral rehabilitation, parts I and II. *J Prosthet Dent* 1960;10:135-62.
66. Dawson PE. Evaluation, diagnosis, and treatment of occlusal problems. St. Louis: CV Mosby, 1974:248-74.
67. Chandler HT, Brudvik JS, Pagan WJ. Porcelain occlusal posterior fixed partial dentures. *J Prosthet Dent* 1975;34:583-7.
68. Mc Dermott IG. The Hardy chew-in technique. *J Prosthet Dent* 1982;48:202-5.
69. Eeckman J. Expeimenteel onderzoek van de “Functionally generated path” methode. 1984; Ph Thesis, GENT, Belgium.
70. Owen CP. The prophylactic reduction of cusps- is it desirable ? *J Oral Rehabil* 1986;13:39-48.
71. Lucas PW, Corlett RT, Luke DA. New approach to postcanine tooth size applied to plio-pleistocene hominids. In: Proc Xth Congress of Primatology, J.G.R. Else and P.C. Lee (eds), Cambridge Univ. Press, 1984;91-101 Cambridge.
72. Berry DC, Poole DFG. Masticatory function and oral rehabilitation. *J Oral Rehabil* 1974;1:191-205.
73. DuBrul EL. Origin and evolution of the oral apparatus, *Front. Oral Physiol*, Y. Kawamura (ed) Basel: Karger, 1974: 1-30.
74. Ainamo J. Relationship between occlusal wear of the teeth and periodontal health. *Scand J Dent Res* 1972; 80: 505 – 9.
75. Glickman I. Clinical periodontology. 4 th ed. Philadelphia; Saunders, 1972:833-1017.
76. Stuart CE. Why dental restorations should have cusps. 1960; 10;553-5.

77. Kirveskari P. Cusps or no cusps- that is not the question. *J Oral Rehabil* 1979; 6: 311-6.
78. Seligman DA, Pullinger AG. The role of intercuspal occlusal relationships in temporomandibular disorders: A Review. *J Craniomand Disord Facial Pain* 1991; 2: 96-105.
79. Luke DA, Lucas PW. The significance of cusps. *J Oral Rehabil* 1983;10:197-206.
80. De Jager N, De Kler M, Van der Zel JM. The influence of different core material on the FEA-determined stress distribution in dental crowns. *Dent Mater* 2006; 22(3): 234-42.
81. De Kler M, De Jager N, Meegdes M, Van der Zel JM. Influence of thermal expansion mismatch and fatigue loading on phase changes in porcelain veneered Y-TZP zirconia. *J Oral Rehabil* 2007; 34(11): 841-7.
82. Brown SA, Lemons JE. Medical applications of titanium and its alloys. In: ASTM International. Pennsylvania, USA: 1996: 6.
83. Wang H, Aboushelib MN, Feilzer AJ. Strength influencing variables on CAD/CAM zirconia frameworks. *Dent Mat* 2007; *Dent Mater* 2008; 24(5): 633-8.
84. Sundh A, Molin M, Sjogren G. Fracture resistance of yttrium oxide partially-stabilized zirconia all-ceramic bridges after veneering and mechanical fatigue testing. *Dent Mater* 2005; 21: 476-82.
85. Tan PLB, Dunne JT. An esthetic comparison of a metal ceramic crown and cast metal abutment with an all-ceramic crown and zirconia abutment: A clinical report. *J Prostet Dent* 2004; 91: 215-8.
86. Scarano A, Piattelli M, Caputi S, Favero GA, Piattelli A. Bacterial adhesion on commercially pure titanium and zirconium oxide disks: an in vivo human study. *J Periodont* 2004; 75(2): 292-6.
87. Hisbergues H, Vendeville S, Vendeville P. Zirconia: established facts and perspectives for a biomaterial in dental implantology. *J Biomed Mater Res B Apl Biomater* 2009; 88(2): 519-29.
88. Chun HJ, Shin HS, Han CH, Lee SH. Influence of implant abutment type on stress distribution in bone under various loading conditions using finite element analysis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2006; 21: 195-202.
89. Van Ruijven LJ, Mulder L, Van Eyden TM. Variations in mineralization affect the stress and strain distribution in cortical and trabecular bone. *J Biomech* 2007; 40(6): 1211-18.
90. Kitagawa T, Tanimoto Y, Odaki M, Nemoto K, Aida M. Influence of implant/abutment joint designs on abutment screw loosening in a dental implant system. *J Biomed Mater* 2005; 75(2): 457-63.

91. Tan KB, Nicholls JJ. Implant-abutment screw joint preload of 7 hex-top abutment systems. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2001; 16(3): 367-77.
92. Kohn DH. Mechanical Properties. In: Craig RG, Powers JM. *Restorative Dental Materials*. Missouri: Mosby-Year Book; 2002. p. 68.
93. Nishigawa K, Bando E and Nakano M. Quantitative study of bite force during sleep associated bruxism. *J Oral Rehabil* 2001; 28(5): 485-91.
94. Siegele D, Soltész U. Numerical investigations of the influence of implant shape on stress distribution in the jaw bone. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1989; 4: 333-40.
95. De Jager N, Feilzer AJ, Davidson CL. The influence of surface roughness on porcelain strength. *Dent. Mat.* 2000; 16: 381-8.
96. Papavasiliou G, Kamposiora P, Bayne SC, Felton DA. Three-dimensional finite element analysis of stress-distribution around single tooth implants as a function of bony support, prosthesis type, and loading during function. *J Prosthet Dent* 1996; 76: 633-40.
97. Brogini N, McManus LM, Hermann JS, Medina RU, Oates TW, Schenk RK, BuserD, Mellonig JT, Cochran DL. Persistent acute inflammation at the implant-abutment interface. *J Dent Res* 2003; 82(3): 232-7.
98. Doring K, Eisenmann E, Stiller M. Functional and esthetic considerations for single-tooth Ankylos implant-crowns: 8 years of clinical performance. *J Oral Implantol* 2004; 30(3): 198-209.
99. Jansen VK, Conrads G, Richter EJ. Microbial leakage and marginal fit of the implant-abutment interface. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1997; 12: 559-71.
100. Cibirka RM, Nelson SK, Lang BR, Rueggeberg FA. Examination of the implant-abutment interface after fatigue testing. *J Prostet Dent* 2001; 85: 268-75.
101. Butz F, Heydecke, G, Okutan M, Strub JR. Survival rate, fracture strength and failure mode of ceramic implant abutments after chewing simulation. *J Oral Rehab* 2005; 32: 838-43.
102. Barnfather and P.A. Brunton, Restoration of the upper dental arch using lava all-ceramic crown and bridgework, *Br Dent J* **202** (2007), pp. 731–735.
103. Coli P, Karlsson S. Precision of a CAD/CAM technique for the production of Zirconium dioxide copings, *Int J Prosthodont* **17** (2004), pp. 577–580.
104. Della Bona A, Mecholsky Jr. JJ, Anusavice KJ. Fracture behavior of lithia disilicate- and leucite-based ceramics, *Dent Mater* **20** (2004), pp. 956–962.
105. Devaud V, Guidelines for success with zirconia ceramics: the changing standards, *Pract Proced Aesthet Dent* **17** (2005), pp. 508–510.

106. Edelhoff D, Sorensen JA. Retention of selected core materials to zirconia posts, *Oper Dent* 27 (2002), pp. 455–461.
107. Michalakakis KX, Hirayama H, Sfolkos J, Sfolkos K. Light transmission of posts and cores used for the anterior esthetic region, *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 24 (2004), pp. 462–469.
108. Raigrodski A. All-ceramic full-coverage restorations: concepts and guidelines for material selection, *Pract Proced Aesthet Dent* 17 (2005), pp. 249–256 quiz 258.
109. Sorensen JA, Choi C, Fanuscu MI, Mito WT. IPS empress crown system: three-year clinical trial results, *J Calif Dent Assoc* 26 (1998), pp. 130–136.
110. Sorensen JA, Kang SK, Torres TJ, Knode H. In-ceram fixed partial dentures: three-year clinical trial results, *J Calif Dent Assoc* 26 (1998), pp. 207–214.
111. Luthardt RG, Holzhueter MS, Rudolph H, Herold V, Walter MH. CAD/CAM-machining effects on Y-TZP zirconia, *Dent Mater* 20 (2004), pp. 655–662.
112. Tinschert J, Natt G, Hassenpflug S, Spiekermann H. Status of current CAD/CAM technology in dental medicine, *Int J Comput Dent* 7 (2004), pp. 25–45.
113. Tinschert J, Natt G, Mautsch W, Augthun M, Spiekermann H. Fracture resistance of lithium-disilicate-, alumina-, and zirconia-based three-unit fixed partial dentures: a laboratory study, *Int J Prosthodont* 14 (2001), pp. 231–238.
114. Vult von Steyern P, Ebbesson S, Holmgren J, Haag P, Nilner K. Fracture strength of two oxide ceramic crown systems after cyclic pre-loading and thermocycling, *J Oral Rehabil* 33 (2006), pp. 682–689.
115. Tinschert J, Zvez D, Marx R, Anusavice KJ. Structural reliability of alumina-, feldspar-, leucite-, mica- and zirconia-based ceramics, *J Dent* 28 (2000), pp. 529–535.
116. Aboushelib MN, De Jager N, Kleverlaan CJ, Feilzer AJ. Microtensile bond strength of different components of core veneered all-ceramic restorations, *Dent Mater* 21 (2005), pp. 984–991.
117. Aboushelib MN, Kleverlaan CJ, Feilzer AJ. Microtensile bond strength of different components of core veneered all-ceramic restorations. Part II. zirconia veneering ceramics, *Dent Mater* 22 (2006), pp. 857–863.
118. Larsson C, Vult von Steyern P, Sunzel B, Nilner K. All-ceramic 2–5-unit implant-supported reconstructions. A randomized, prospective clinical trial, *Swed Dent J* 30 (2006), pp. 45–53.

119. Sailer I, Feher A, Filser F, Luthy H, Gauckler LJ, Scharer P, *et al.*. Prospective clinical study of zirconia posterior fixed partial dentures: 3-year follow-up, *Quintessence Int* 37 (2006), pp. 685–693.
120. Vult von Steyern P, Carlson P, Nilner K. All-ceramic fixed partial dentures designed according to the DC-Zirkon technique. A 2-year clinical study, *J Oral Rehabil* 32 (2005), pp. 180–187.
121. Strub JR, Stiffler S, Scharer P. Causes of failure following oral rehabilitation: biological versus technical factors, *Quintessence Int* 19 (1988), pp. 215–222.
122. Bindl A, Luthy H, Mormann WH. Strength and fracture pattern of monolithic CAD/CAM-generated posterior crowns, *Dent Mater* 22 (2006), pp. 29–36.
123. Bindl A, Luthy H, Mormann WH. Thin-wall ceramic CAD/CAM crown copings: strength and fracture pattern, *J Oral Rehabil* 33 (2006), pp. 520–528.
124. Bindl A, Mormann WH. Survival rate of mono-ceramic and ceramic-core CAD/CAM-generated anterior crowns over 2–5 years, *Eur J Oral Sci* 112 (2004), pp. 197–204.
125. Tinschert J, Natt G, Mohrbotter N, Spiekermann H, Schulze KA. Lifetime of alumina- and zirconia ceramics used for crown and bridge restorations, *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater* 80 (2007), pp. 317–321.
126. Witkowski S, Komine F, Gerds T. Marginal accuracy of titanium copings fabricated by casting and CAD/CAM techniques, *J Prosthet Dent* 96 (2006), pp. 47–52.
127. Proussaefs P. Crowns cemented on crown preparations lacking geometric resistance form. Part II: effect of cement, *J Prosthodont* 13 (2004), pp. 36–41.
128. Smith TB, Kelly JR, Tesk JA. In vitro fracture behavior of ceramic and metal-ceramic restorations, *J Prosthodont* 3 (1994), pp. 138–144.
129. Snyder MD, Hogg KD. Load-to-fracture value of different all-ceramic crown systems, *J Contemp Dent Pract* 6 (2005), pp. 54–63.
130. Sundh A, Molin M, Sjogren G. Fracture resistance of yttrium oxide partially-stabilized zirconia all-ceramic bridges after veneering and mechanical fatigue testing, *Dent Mater* 21 (2005), pp. 476–482.
131. Scherrer SS, De Rijk WG. The fracture resistance of all-ceramic crowns on supporting structures with different elastic moduli, *Int J Prosthodont* 6 (1993), pp. 462–467.
132. Rosentritt, Plein T, Kolbeck C, Behr M, Handel G. In vitro fracture force and marginal adaptation of ceramic crowns fixed on natural and artificial teeth, *Int J Prosthodont* 13 (2000), pp. 387–391.

133. Potiket N, Chiche G, Finger IM. In vitro fracture strength of teeth restored with different all-ceramic crown systems, *J Prosthet Dent* **92** (2004), pp. 491–495.
134. Ritter JE, Predicting lifetimes of materials and material structures, *Dent Mater* **11** (1995), pp. 142–146.
135. Tsalouchou E, Cattell MJ, Knowles JC, Pittayachawan P, McDonald A. Fatigue and fracture properties of yttria partially stabilized zirconia crown systems, *Dent Mater* **24** (2008), pp. 308–318.
136. Kelly JR, Tesk JA, Sorensen JA. Failure of all-ceramic fixed partial dentures in vitro and in vivo: analysis and modeling, *J Dent Res* **74** (1995), pp. 1253–1258.
137. Raigrodski AJ, Chiche GC, Potiket N, Hochstedler JL, Mohamed SE, Billiot, S *et al.*. The efficacy of posterior three-unit zirconium-oxide-based ceramic fixed partial dental prostheses: a prospective clinical pilot study, *J Prosthet Dent* **96** (2006), pp. 237–244.
138. Sailer I, Feher A, Filser F, Gauckler LJ, Luthy H, Hammerle CH. Five-year clinical results of zirconia frameworks for posterior fixed partial dentures, *Int J Prosthodont* **20** (2007), pp. 383–388.
139. Kelly JR. Clinically relevant approach to failure testing of all-ceramic restorations, *J Prosthet Dent* **81** (1999), pp. 652–661.
140. Van der Zel JM. PRIMERO brengt het digitale opbakken dichterbij. Magazine voor Tandartsen en Tandtechnici, 2011 jaargang 19, 100:6-10.
141. Van der Zel JM. PRIMERO: to the next level in digital veneering. Dental technician; March 2011:16-19.
142. Vlaar ST, De Ruiter WJ, Van der Zel SJJ, Van der Zel JM. Farbkontrolle in digitale Verblenung. DIGITAL_DENTAL.NEWS; 5. Jahrgang; Jan/Feb 2011:36-42.