Downloaded from UvA-DARE, the institutional repository of the University of Amsterdam (UvA)
http://dare.uva.nl/document/344020

File ID	344020
Filename	Bibliography

SOURCE (OR PART OF THE FOLLOWING SOURCE):

Type	Dissertation
Title	The neural basis of structure in language: bridging the gap between symbolic and connectionist models of language processing
Author	G. Borensztajn
Faculty	Faculty of Humanities Faculty of Science
Year	2011
Pages	xv, 233
ISBN	90-5776-233-1

FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC DETAILS:
http://dare.uva.nl/record/400259

Copyright

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (http://dare.uva.nl)

Bibliography

M. Bansal and D. Klein. The surprising variance in shortest-derivation parsing. In *Proceedings of the 49nd Annual Meeting of Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL 2011)*, pages 720–725, Morristown, NJ, 2011. Association for Computational Linguistics. [152, 158, 159, 161]

O. Barak and M. Tsodyks. Persistent activity in neural networks with dynamic synapses. *PLOS computational biology*, 3(2):e35, 2007. [25, 116]

F. Battaglia and C. M. A. Pennartz. The construction of semantic memory: grammar based representations learned from relational episodic information. *Frontiers in computational neuroscience*, 5(36):1–22, 2011. [31, 176]

F. Battaglia, K. Benchenane, K. Sirota, C. M. A. Pennartz, and S. Wiener. The hippocampus: hub of brain network communication for memory. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 2011. [32]

W. Bechtel and A. Abrahamsen. *Connectionism and the mind: Parallel processing, dynamics, and evolution in networks. Second Edition.* Basil Blackwell, Oxford, UK, 2002. [67]

B. K. Bergen and N. C. Chang. Embodied construction grammar in simulation-based language understanding. In J. Östman and M. Fried, editors, *Construction grammars: cognitive grounding and theoretical extensions*, pages 147–190. 2005. Technical Report 02-004. [182]

C. M. Bishop. *Neural Networks for Pattern Recognition.* Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1996. [65]

E. Black, F. Jelinek, J. Lafferty, D. Magerman, and R. Mercer. Towards history-based grammars: Using richer models for probabilistic parsing. In *Proceedings of the 31st annual meeting on Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 31–37, 1993. [136]

R. Bod. *Beyond Grammar: An experience-based theory of language.* CSLI Publications, Stanford, CA, 1998. [5, 30, 50, 136]

R. Bod. Parsing with the shortest derivation. In *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Computational Linguistics (COLING 2000)*, pages 69–75, -, 2000. Association for Computational Linguistics. [135, 161, 168]

R. Bod. An efficient implementation of a new DOP model. In *Proceedings EACL'03*, pages 19–26. 2003. [7, 49, 158, 161]

R. Bod. An all-subtrees approach to unsupervised parsing. In *Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Computational Linguistics, Sydney*, pages 865–872, 2006a. [191]

R. Bod. Unsupervised parsing with U-DOP. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning (CONLL-X)*, pages 85–92, 2006b. [188]

R. Bod. Is the end of supervised parsing in sight? In *Proceedings of the 45nd Annual Meeting of Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL 2007)*, volume 45, page 400, Morristown, NJ, 2007. Association for Computational Linguistics. [55, 188]

L. Borensztajn. Luc steels, the Talking Heads experiment and cognitive philosophy - a tutorial accompanying the presentation in current issues - part i. available at http://staff.science.uva.nl/g̃ideon/, 2006a. [37]

L. Borensztajn. Automatic discovery of constructions in children's speech, masters thesis, 2006b. [16, 191]

L. Borensztajn and W. Zuidema. Bayesian model merging for unsupervised constituent labeling and grammar induction. Technical report, 2007. [191]

L. Borensztajn and W. Zuidema. Episodic grammar: a computational model of the interaction between episodic and semantic memory in language processing. In *Proceedings of the 33th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, 2011. [9]

L. Borensztajn, W. Zuidema, and R. Bod. Children's grammars grow more abstract with age. evidence from an automatic procedure for identifying the productive units of language. *Topics*, 1(1):175–188, 2009a. [5, 7, 51, 190]

L. Borensztajn, W. Zuidema, and R. Bod. The hierarchical prediction network: towards a neural theory of grammar acquisition. In *Proceedings of the 31th annual conference of the cognitive science society*, 2009b. [8]

M. Boston, J. Hale, R. Kliegl, J. Patil, and S. Vasisht. Parsing costs as predictors of reading difficulty: An evaluation using the potsdam sentence corpus. *Journal of Eye Movement Research*, 2(1):1–12, 2008. [190]

P. Brakel and S. Frank. Strong systematicity in sentence processing by simple recurrent networks. In *Proceedings of the 31th annual conference of the cognitive science society*, 2009. [75]

R. W. Brown. *A first language: The early stages*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1973. [51, 108]

M. Bunsey and H. Eichenbaum. Conservation of hippocampal memory function in rats and humans. *Nature*, 379(6562):255–257, 1996. [34]

N. C. Chang. *Learning Grammatical Constructions*. PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2001. Thesis proposal. [37]

E. Charniak. A maximum-entropy-inspired parser. In *Proceedings of the 1st North American chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics conference*, 2000. [49, 131, 189]

N. Chomsky. *Syntactic Structures*. Mouton & Co, The Hague, 1957. [42, 43]

N. Chomsky. *Language and mind*. Harcourt, Brace and World, -, 1972. Extended edition. [66]

N. Chomsky. *Lectures on Government and Binding*. Foris Publications, Dordrecht, the Netherlands, 1981. [59]

N. Chomsky and G. A. Miller. Introduction to the formal analysis of natural languages. In D. Luce, X. Bush, and X. Galanter, editors, *Handbook of Mathematical Psychology, Vol. 2*, pages 269–321. 1963. [43]

M. H. Christiansen and N. Chater. Generalization and connectionist language learning. *Mind and Language*, 9(3):273–287, 1994. [75]

M. H. Christiansen and N. Chater. Toward a connectionist model of recursion in human linguistic performance. *Cognitive Science*, 23(2):157–205, 1999. [79, 82, 84, 180]

A. M. Collins and M. Quillian. Retrieval time from semantic memory. *Journal of verbal learning and verbal behavior*, 8(2):240–247, 1969. [27]

M. J. Collins. *Head-Driven Statistical Models for Natural Language Parsing*. PhD thesis, 1999. [136]

M. J. Collins. Head-driven statistical models for natural language parsing. *Computational Linguistics*, 29:589 – 637, 2003. [49]

N. Cowan. *Attention and memory: An integrated framework*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1995. [116]

S. Crain. Language acquisition in the absence of experience. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 14(4):597–650, 1991. [66]

S. Crain and R. Thornton. Acquisition of syntax and semantics. In M. Traxler and M. Gernsbacher, editors, *Handbook of Psycholinguistics*. Elsevier, Oxford, 2005. [52]

F. Crick and C. Koch. Consciousness and neuroscience. *Cerebral Cortex*, 8(2): 97–107, 1998. [11, 59]

W. Croft. *Syntactic categories and grammatical relations: The cognitive organization of information*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1991. [5]

W. Croft. *Radical construction grammar: syntactic theory in typological perspective*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2001. [5, 23, 59, 60, 182]

V. Demberg and F. Keller. Data from eye-tracking corpora as evidence for theories of syntactic processing complexity. *Cognition*, 109(2):193–210, 2008. [190]

J. Earley. An efficient context-free parsing algorithm. *Communications of the ACM*, 13(2):94–102, 1970. [52, 104, 140]

S. Edelman. *Computing the mind. How the Mind Really Works*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2008. [83]

H. Eichenbaum. Hippocampus: Cognitive processes and neural representations that underlie declarative memory. *Neuron*, 44(1):109–120, 2004. [32, 33, 34, 123, 138, 172, 174, 175]

H. Eichenbaum and N. J. Cohen. *From Conditioning to Conscious Recollection: Memory Systems of the Brain*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2001. [177]

H. Eichenbaum and N. J. Fortin. The neurobiology of memory based predictions. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 364(1521):1183–1191, 2009. [34, 177]

H. Eichenbaum, C. Stewart, and R. G. Morris. Hippocampal representation in spatial learning. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 10(11):3531–3542, 1990. [34]

H. Eichenbaum, P. Dudchenko, E. Wood, M. Shapiro, and H. Tanila. The hippocampus, memory, review and place cells: Is it spatial memory or a memory space? *Neuron*, 23:209–226, 1999. [33, 34]

J. L. Elman. Representation and structure in connectionist models. Technical report, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, 1989. CRL 8903. [8, 73]

J. L. Elman. Finding structure in time. *Cognitive Science*, 14(2):179–211, 1990. [xi, 74, 84, 87, 195, 196, 197, 198]

J. L. Elman. Distributed representations, simple recurrent networks, and grammatical structure. *Machine Learning*, 7(2):195–225, 1991. [xi, 67, 74, 87, 100, 107, 195, 198, 199, 200, 201, 205]

J. L. Elman. Learning and development in neural networks: The importance of starting small. *Cognition*, 48(1):71–99, 1993. [84, 195]

N. Evans and S. C. Levinson. The myth of language universals: Language diversity and its importance for cognitive science. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 32(5):429–448, 2009. [23]

D. Everett. Cultural constraints on grammar and cognition in pirahã. *Current Anthropology*, 46(4):621–646, 2005. [23]

D. J. Felleman and D. C. van Essen. Distributed hierarchical processing in the primate cerebral cortex. *Cerebral Cortex*, 1(1):1–47, 1991. [12, 14]

C. J. Fillmore and P. Kay. The goals of construction grammar. Technical report, 1987. Berkeley Cognitive Science Program TR. [5]

J. D. Fodor and Z. W. Pylyshyn. Connectionism and cognitive architecture: A critical analysis. *Cognition*, 28(1-2):3–71, 1988. [3, 66, 67, 69, 74, 76, 90, 183, 195]

S. Frank and R. Bod. Insensitivity of the human sentence-processing system to hierarchical structure. *Psychological Science*, 22(6):829, 2011. [190]

P. W. Frankland and B. Bontempi. The organization of recent and remote memories. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 6(2):119–130, 2005. [175, 176]

G. Frege. Über sinn und bedeutung [on sense and reference]. *Zeitschrift für Philosophie und philosophische Kritik*, 1892. [58]

D. George and J. Hawkins. A hierarchical Bayesian model of invariant pattern recognition in the visual cortex. In *Proceedings of the 2005 IEEE International Joint Conference on neural networks*, volume 3, pages 1812–1817, 2005. [2, 19, 182]

D. George and J. Hawkins. Towards a mathematical theory of cortical microcircuits. *PLOS computational biology*, 5(10):e1000532, 2009. [2, 20, 37, 182]

A. E. Goldberg. Constructions: a new theoretical approach to language. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 7(5):219–224, 2003. [5, 36, 182]

A. E. Goldberg. *Constructions in Context*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2006. [5, 30, 36, 182]

J. Goodman. Efficient algorithms for parsing the DOP model. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 143–152. 1996. [159, 188]

R. F. Hadley. Systematicity in connectionist language learning. *Mind & Language*, 9(3):247–272, 1994. [viii, 8, 63, 74, 75, 80, 86, 187, 196]

P. Hagoort. On Broca, brain, and binding: a new framework. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 9(9):416–423, 2005. [117]

J. Hale. A probabilistic Earley parser as a psycholinguistic model. In *Proceedings of the second meeting of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics on Language technologies*, volume 2, pages 159–166, 2001. [190]

F. Han and S. Zhu. Bottom-up/top-down image parsing by Attribute Graph grammar. In *Computer Vision, 2005. ICCV 2005. Tenth IEEE International Conference on*, volume 2, pages 1778–1785, 2005. [19]

Z. S. Harris. *Methods in structural linguistics*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1951. [40]

M. D. Hauser, N. Chomsky, and W. T. Fitch. The faculty of language: what is it, who has it, and how did it evolve? *Science*, 298(5598):1569–1579, 2002. [23, 24]

J. Hawkins and S. Blakeslee. *On intelligence*. Henry Holt and Company, New York, 2004. [1, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 88, 89, 93, 103, 113, 179, 183, 185]

S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber. Long short-term memory. *Neural Computation*, 9(8):1735–1780, 1997. [84]

J. J. Hopfield. Neural networks and physical systems with emergent collective computational abilities. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, 79 (8):2554–8, 1982. [123]

K. Hornik, M. Stinchcombe, and H. White. Multilayer feedforward networks are universal approximators. *Neural Networks*, 2(5):359–366, 1989. [65]

D. H. Hubel and T. N. Wiesel. Receptive fields and functional architecture of monkey striate cortex. *Journal of Physiology*, 195(1):215–243, 1968. [13]

J. Hummel and I. Biederman. Dynamic binding in a neural network for shape recognition. *Psychological Review*, 99(3):480–517, 1992. [25, 71]

J. Hummel and K. J. Holyoak. Distributed representations of structure: a theory of analogical access and mapping. *Psychological Review*, 104(3):427–466, 1997. [71, 90, 115]

J. Hummel and K. J. Holyoak. A symbolic-connectionist theory of relational inference and generalization. *Psychological Review*, 110(2):220–264, 2003. [115]

J. Hummel, K. J. Holyoak, K. Green, C. Doumas, and L. Devnich. A solution to the binding problem for compositional connectionism. In S. D. Levy and R. Gayler, editors, *Compositional connectionism in cognitive science: Papers from the AAAI Fall Symposium*, pages 31–34. 2004. [71, 110, 177]

E. M. Izhikevich. Polychronization: Computation with spikes. *Neural Computation*, (18):245–282, 2006. [118]

E. M. Izhikevich. Solving the distal reward problem through linkage of STDP and dopamine signaling. *Cerebral Cortex*, 17(10):2443–2452, 2007. [174]

R. Jackendoff. *Foundations of Language*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2002. [3, 4, 20, 29, 90, 179, 183]

H. Jacobsson. Rule extraction from recurrent neural networks: A taxonomy and review. *Neural Computation*, 17(6):1223–1263, 2005. [84]

M. H. Johnson. PCFG models of linguistic tree representations. *Computational Linguistics*, 24:613–632, 1998. [49]

A. K. Joshi. Starting with complex primitives pays off: complicate locally, simplify globally. *Cognitive Science*, 28(5):637–668, 2004. [49, 117]

D. Jurafsky and J. H. Martin. *Speech And Language Processing. An Introduction To Natural Language Processing, Computational Linguistics, And Speech Recognition. 2nd Edition.* Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 2009. [44]

P. Kay and C. J. Fillmore. Grammatical constructions and linguistic generalizations: the What's X Doing Y? construction. *Language*, 1999. [36]

D. Klein and C. D. Manning. A generative Constituent-Context Model for improved grammar induction. In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, Philadelphia*, pages 128–135. 2002. [55, 191]

D. Klein and C. D. Manning. Accurate unlexicalized parsing. In *Proceedings of the 41st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 423–430, 2003. [49, 130]

E. Kobatake and K. Tanaka. Neuronal selectivities to complex object features in the ventral visual pathway of the macaque cerebral cortex. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 71(3):856–67, 1994. [12]

C. Koch and S. Ullman. Shifts in selective visual attention: towards the underlying neural circuitry. *Human Neurobiology*, 4(4):219–27, 1985. [118]

T. Kohonen. *Self-organization and associative memory: 3rd edition.* Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1998. [26, 115]

T. Koskela, M. Varsta, K. Heikkonen, and K. Kaski. Time series prediction using recurrent SOM with local linear models. *International Journal of Knowledge-Based Intelligent Engineering Systems*, 2(1):60–68, 1998. [84]

G. Kreiman, I. Fried, and C. Koch. Single-neuron correlates of subjective vision in the human medial temporal lobe. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, 99(12):8378–8383, 2002. [13]

B. Kröse and P. van der Smagt. *An introduction to neural networks,8th edition.* University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, 1996. [65]

G. Lakoff. *Women, Fire, and Dangerous Things: What Categories Reveal about the Mind.* University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1987. [59]

G. Lakoff and M. H. Johnson. *Philosophy in the Flesh.* Basic Books, New York, 1999. [36]

V. A. F. Lamme and P. R. Roelfsema. The distinct modes of vision offered by feedforward and recurrent processing. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 23(11):571–579, 2000. [28]

V. A. F. Lamme and H. Spekreijse. Neuronal synchrony does not represent texture segregation. *Nature*, 78(396):362–66, 1998. [22]

K. Lari and S. J. Young. The estimation of stochastic context-free grammars using the inside-outside algorithm. *Computer speech & language*, 4(1):35–56, 1990. [56, 185]

K. Lashley. The problem of serial order in behavior. In L. Jeffress, editor, *Cerebral mechanisms in behavior.* 1951. [118]

D. A. Leopold and N. K. Logothesis. Activity changes in early visual cortex reflect monkeys' percepts during binocular rivalry. *Nature*, 1996. [86]

R. Levy. Expectation-based syntactic comprehension. *Cognition*, 106(3):1126–1177, 2008. [190]

W. Levy. A sequence predicting CA3 is a flexible associator that learns and uses context to solve hippocampal-like tasks. *Hippocampus*, 6:579–590, 1996. [32, 33, 123, 138]

E. Lieven, H. Behrens, J. Speares, and M. Tomasello. Early syntactic creativity: a usage-based approach. *Journal of Child Language*, 30(02):333–370, 2003. [x, 165, 168]

I. Lifshitz. *Image interpretation using bottom-up top-down cycle on fragment trees*. PhD thesis, 2005. [19]

D. MacKay. Amnesic HM exhibits parallel deficits and sparing in language and memory: Systems versus binding theory accounts. *Language and cognitive processes*, 22(3):377–452, 2007. [138]

B. MacWhinney. *The CHILDES project: Tools for analyzing talk. Third Edition*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahway, NJ, 2000. [51, 108, 190]

C. D. Manning. Probabilistic syntax. In R. Bod, J. Hay, and S. Jannedy, editors, *Probabilistic linguistics*, pages 289–341. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2003. [55, 60, 61]

C. D. Manning and B. Carpenter. Probabilistic parsing using left corner language models. In *Proceedings of the 35th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, San Francisco, CA, 1997. Morgan Kaufmann. [47, 111, 126, 130, 132, 141]

C. D. Manning and H. Schütze. *Foundations of Statistical Language Processing*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2000. [46, 60, 131]

G. F. Marcus. *The Algebraic Mind: Integrating Connectionism and Cognitive Science*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2001. [x, 4, 86, 87, 88, 93, 122, 165]

G. F. Marcus, S. Vijayan, S. Bandi Rao, and P. Vishton. Rule learning by seven-month-old infants. *Science*, 283(5398):77, 1999. [x, 87, 90, 165]

M. P. Marcus. The acquisition of the English past tense in children and multi-layered connectionist networks. *Cognition*, 56(3):271–279, 1995. [66]

M. P. Marcus, M. A. Marcinkiewicz, and B. Santorini. Building a large annotated corpus of English: The Penn Treebank. *Computational Linguistics*, 19(2):313–330, 1993. [46, 131]

T. M. Martinetz and K. Schulten. A neural-gas network learns topologies. In T. Kohonen and K. Makisara, editors, *Artificial Neural Networks*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1991. [105]

J. H. R. Maunsell and W. T. Newsome. Visual processing in monkey extrastriate cortex. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 10(1):363–401, 1987. [13]

M. R. Mayberry III and R. Miikkulainen. SARDSRN: a neural network shift-reduce parser. In T. Dean, editor, *Proceedings of the 16th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI'99) (San Francisco, CA)*, volume 16, pages 820–827, San Francisco, CA, 1999. Morgan Kaufmann. [26, 84]

J. L. McClelland, B. McNaughton, and R. O'Reilly. Why there are complementary learning systems in the hippocampus and neocortex: insights from the successes and failures of connectionist models of learning and memory. *Psychological Review*, 102(3):419, 1995. [123, 172, 176, 178]

J. L. McClelland, M. M. Botvinick, D. C. Noelle, D. Plaut, and T. T. Rogers. Letting structure emerge: connectionist and dynamical systems approaches to cognition. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 14(8):348–356, 2010. [64]

W. McCulloch and W. Pitts. A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, 5(4):115–133, 1943. [64]

T. McQueen. *STORM: an Unsupervised Connectionist Model for Language Acquisition*. PhD thesis, Nottingham Trent University, 2005. [25, 123]

T. A. McQueen, J. Hopgood, J. F. Allen, and J. Tepper. Extracting finite structure from infinite language. *Knowledge Based Systems*, 18:135–141, 2005. [25, 26, 84]

B. Merker. Cortex, countercurrent context, and dimensional integration of lifetime memory. *Cortex*, 40(3), 2004. [28]

R. Miikkulainen. Text and discourse understanding: The DISCERN system. In R. Dale, H. Moisl, and H. Somers, editors, *A Handbook of Natural Language Processing: Techniques and Applications for the Processing of Language as Text*. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1999. [123]

R. Miikkulainen, J. A. Bednar, Y. Choe, and J. Sirosh. Self-organization, plasticity, and low-level visual phenomena in a laterally connected map model of the primary visual cortex. In R. Goldstone, P. Schyns, and D. L. Medin, editors, *Psychology of learning and motivation*, volume 36, pages 257–308. 1997. [26]

T. Mitchell. The need for biases in learning generalizations. Technical report, Rutgers Computer Science Department, 1980. CBM-TR-117. [77, 79, 82]

T. Mitchell. *Machine Learning*. McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, 1997. [77]

G. Mongillo, O. Barak, and M. Tsodyks. Synaptic theory of working memory. *Science*, 319(5869):1543, 2008. [25, 116]

R. C. Moore. Improved left-corner chart parsing for large context-free grammars. pages 185–201, 2004. [126]

R. G. Morris and U. Frey. Hippocampal synaptic plasticity: role in spatial learning or the automatic recording of attended experience? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 352(1360): 1489–1503, 1997. [32]

V. B. Mountcastle. The columnar organization of the neocortex. *Brain*, 120(4): 701–722, 1997. [13, 26, 97]

L. Nadel and M. Moscovitch. Memory consolidation, retrograde amnesia and the hippocampal complex. *Current opinion in neurobiology*, 7(2):217–227, 1997. [176]

L. Nadel, A. Samsonovich, L. Ryan, and M. Moscovitch. Multiple Trace Theory of human memory: computational, neuroimaging, and neuropsychological results. *Hippocampus*, 10(4):352–368, 2000. [177]

J. O'Keefe and J. Dostrovsky. The hippocampus as a spatial map: Preliminary evidence from unit activity in the freely-moving rat. *Brain Research*, 34(1): 171–5, 1971. [33]

J. O'Keefe and L. Nadel. The hippocampus as a cognitive map. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 2(4):487–494, 1979. [33, 175]

B. Opitz. Neural binding mechanisms in learning and memory. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 34(7):1036–1046, 2010. [34]

R. O'Reilly and K. Norman. Hippocampal and neocortical contributions to memory: advances in the complementary learning systems framework. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 6(12):505–510, 2002. [123, 138, 176]

R. O'Reilly and J. W. Rudy. Conjunctive representations in learning and memory: Principles of cortical and hippocampal function. *Psychological Review*, 108(2): 311–45, 2001. [138, 172, 176, 177]

B. Palanca and G. C. DeAngelis. Does neuronal synchrony underlie visual feature grouping? *Neuron*, 46(2):333–346, 2005. [22]

B. H. Partee, A. ter Meulen, and R. E. Wall. *Mathematical methods in linguistics*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, MA, 1990. [43]

C. S. Peirce. Logic as semiotic: The theory of signs. In R. E. Innis, editor, *Semiotics: An introductory anthology*, pages 4–23. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press, Indiana, 1903. [68]

A. Peters. *The units of language acquisition*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1983. [5]

S. Petrov and D. Klein. Learning and inference for hierarchically split PCFGs. In *Proceedings of the 22nd national conference on Artificial intelligence*, volume 22, page 1663, 2007. [49]

S. Petrov, L. Barrett, R. Thibaux, and D. Klein. Learning accurate, compact, and interpretable tree annotation. In *Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Computational Linguistics and the 44th annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 443–440, 2006. [23, 61, 129]

S. Pinker and A. Prince. On language and connectionism: Analysis of a parallel distributed processing model of language acquisition. *Cognition*, 28:73–193, 1988. [66]

K. Plunkett and V. A. Marchman. Learning from a connectionist model of the acquisition of the English past tense. *Cognition*, 61(3):299–308, 1996. [66]

D. Poeppel, W. J. Idsardi, and V. van Wassenhove. Speech perception at the interface of neurobiology and linguistics. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society London*, 363(1493):1071–86, 2008. [28]

J. B. Pollack. Recursive auto-associative memory. *Neural Networks*, 1:122, 1988. [8, 67, 69, 75, 93, 110]

J. B. Pollack. Recursive distributed representations. *Artificial Intelligence*, 46 (1-2):77–105, 1990. [69]

M. Pollack. *On connectionist models of natural language processing*. PhD thesis, University of Illinois, Urbana, 1987. [72]

D. Prescher. A tutorial on the Expectation-Maximization algorithm including maximum-likelihood estimation and EM training of probabilistic context-free grammars. 2003. [46]

A. Prince and P. Smolensky. Optimality: from neural networks to universal grammar. *Science*, 275(5306):1604–10, 1997. [5, 8, 69, 70, 93]

F. Pulvermüller. Words in the brain's language. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 22(2):253–279, 1999. [71]

R. Quian Quiroga, L. Reddy, G. Kreiman, C. Koch, and I. Fried. Invariant visual representation by single neurons in the human brain. *Nature*, 435:1102–7, 2005. [13]

R. L. Redondo and R. G. Morris. Making memories last: the synaptic tagging and capture hypothesis. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 12(1):17–30, 2010. [174]

R. Reichart and A. Rappoport. Unsupervised induction of labeled parse trees by clustering with syntactic features. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Computational Linguistics (COLING 1992) Nantes, France.*, pages 721–728, 1992. [191]

H. Ritter and T. Kohonen. Self-organizing semantic maps. *Biological Cybernetics*, 61(4):241–254, 1989. [26, 27]

P. Rodriguez, J. Wiles, and J. L. Elman. A recurrent neural network that learns to count. *Connection Science*, 11(1):5–40, 1999. [84, 200, 201]

P. R. Roelfsema. Cortical algorithms for perceptual grouping. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 29:203–227, 2006. [13, 22, 24, 25, 28, 90]

P. R. Roelfsema and H. Spekreijse. Binding contour segments into spatially extended objects. In *Neurobiology of Attention*, Amsterdam, 2005. Elsevier. [22, 23]

P. R. Roelfsema, V. A. F. Lamme, and H. Spekreijse. Synchrony and covariation of firing rates in the primary visual cortex during contour grouping. *Nature Neuroscience*, 7(9):982–991, 2004. [22]

E. Rosch. Principles of categorization. In E. Rosch and B. B. Lloyd, editors, *Principles of categorisation, in cognition and categorisation*, pages 27–48. Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ, 1978. [59]

E. Rosch and C. B. Mervis. Family resemblances: studies in the internal structure of categories. *Cognitive Psychology*, 7(4):573–605, 1975. [59]

D. Rosenkrantz and P. Lewis II. Deterministic left corner parsing. In *11th Annual Symposium on Switching and Automata Theory*, pages 139–152, New York, 1970. IEEE Press. [18, 103, 126]

J. R. Ross. *Constraints on Variables in Syntax.* PhD thesis, MIT, 1967. [59]

D. E. Rumelhart and J. L. McClelland. On learning the past tenses of English verbs. In D. E. Rumelhart and J. L. McClelland, editors, *Parallel Distributed Processing, Vol. 2*, pages 318–362. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1986. [66]

J. R. Saffran, R. Aslin, and E. L. Newport. Statistical learning by 8-month-old infants. *Science*, 274(5294):1926–8, 1996. [83]

K. Sagae, E. Davis, A. Lavie, B. MacWhinney, and S. Wintner. High-accuracy annotation and parsing of CHILDES transcripts. In *Proceedings of the ACL-2007 Workshop on Cognitive Aspects of Computational Language Acquisition*, pages 25–32, 2007. [51]

F. Sangati and W. Zuidema. Accurate parsing with compact tree-substitution grammars: Double-DOP. In *Proceedings of the 2011 Joint Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, 2011. [188]

F. Sangati, W. Zuidema, and R. Bod. A generative re-ranking model for dependency parsing. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Parsing Technologies (IWPT'09)*, pages 238–241, -, 2009. Association for Computational Linguistics. [131]

R. Scha. Taaltheorie en taaltechnologie: competence en performance. In *Computertoepassingen in de Neerlandistiek, Almere: Landelijke Vereniging van Neerlandici (LVVN-jaarboek)*, volume 11 of *7–22*, pages 409–440. 1990. [30]

R. Scha, R. Bod, and K. Sima'an. A memory-based model of syntactic analysis: data-oriented parsing. *Journal of experimenal and theoretical artificial intelligence*, 11(3):409–440, 1999. [5, 30, 49]

J. Schmidhuber. The neural heat exchanger. In *Progress in Neural Information Processing: Proceedings of the Intl. Conference on Neural Information Processing*, -, 1996. Springer Verlag. [28]

W. B. Scoville and B. Milner. Loss of recent memory after bilateral hippocampal lesions. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 20(1):11, 1957. [32]

D. Servan-Schreiber, A. Cleeremans, and J. L. McClelland. Graded state machines: the representation of temporal contingencies in simple recurrent networks. *Machine Learning*, 7(2):161–193, 1991. [84]

L. Shastri. Episodic memory and cortico-hippocampal interactions. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 6(4):162–168, 2002. [32, 125, 138, 177]

S. M. Shieber. Evidence against the context-freeness of natural language. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 8(3):333–343, 1985. [44]

H. Siegelmann and E. Sontag. Turing computability with neural nets. *Applied Mathematics letters*, 4(6):77–80, 1991. [72]

W. Singer and C. M. Gray. Visual feature integration and the temporal correlation hypothesis. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 18(1):555–586, 1995. [22, 115]

M. Smets. A U-DOP approach to modeling language acquisition, 2010. MSc Thesis. [168]

P. Smolensky. Tensor product variable binding and the representation of symbolic structures in connectionist systems. *Artificial Intelligence*, 46(1-2):159–216, 1990. [70, 75]

P. Smolensky and G. Legendre. *The Harmonic Mind: From Neural Computation to Optimality-Theoretic Grammar*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2006. Volume 1: Cognitive Architecture. [67, 70, 110]

L. R. Squire and X. Alvarez. Retrograde amnesia and memory consolidation: a neurobiological perspective. *Current opinion in neurobiology*, 5:169–177, 1995. [32, 176]

L. Steels. Perceptually grounded meaning creation. In M. Tokoro, editor, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Multiagent Systems (ICMAS-96)*, pages 338–344, Menlo Park, CA, 1996. AAAI Press/MIT Press. [37, 83]

L. Steels. The origins of syntax in visually grounded robotic agents. *Artificial Intelligence*, 103(1):133–156, 1997. [37]

L. Steels. *The Talking Heads Experiment. Words and Meanings*. VUB, Brussels, Belgium, 1999. [37]

L. Steels. Constructivist development of grounded Construction Grammars. In *Proceedings of the 42nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, Barcelona*. Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco, CA, 2004. [37]

K. E. Stephan, C. C. Hilgetag, G. A. P. C. Burns, M. A. O'Neill, and M. P. Young. Computational analysis of functional connectivity between areas of primate cerebral cortex. *Philisophical Transactions of the Royal Society, London, Series B.*, 355(1393):111–126, 2000. [92]

T. Stewart and C. Eliasmith. Compositionality and biologically plausible models. In M. Werning, W. Hinzen, and E. Machery, editors, *Oxford Handbook of Compositionality*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2009. [71]

A. Stolcke. Syntactic category formation with vector space grammars. In *Proceedings of the 13th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, pages 908–912, Mahway, NJ, 1991. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. [99]

A. Stolcke. *Bayesian Learning of Probabilistic Language Models*. PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley, Dept. of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, 1994. Dept. of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences. [57, 80, 166, 187]

A. Stolcke. An efficient probabilistic context-free parsing algorithm that computes prefix probabilities. In *Proceedings of the 33d Annual Meeting of Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL 1995)*, 1995. [53, 54, 55, 140, 142, 145, 147, 148]

A. Stolcke and S. M. Omohundro. Inducing probabilistic grammars by Bayesian Model Merging. In *Proceedings of the Second International Colloquium on Grammatical Inference and Applications (ICGI'94)*, volume 862 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 106–118, Berlin, 1994. Springer Verlag. [16, 57, 105, 166, 187, 191]

P. Sturt, F. Keller, and A. Dubey. Syntactic priming in comprehension: Parallelism effects with and without coordination. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 62(4):333–351, 2010. [190]

G. R. Sutherland and B. McNaughton. Memory trace reactivation in hippocampal and neocortical neuronal ensembles. *Current opinion in neurobiology*, 10(2): 180–186, 2000. [32]

W. Tabor. Fractal encoding of context-free grammars in connectionist networks. *Expert Systems*, 17(1):41–56, 2000. [84]

M. Tomasello. Do young children have adult syntactic competence? *Cognition*, 74:209–253, 2000a. [5, 31, 60]

M. Tomasello. The item-based nature of children's early syntactic development. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 4(4):156–163, 2000b. [60, 122]

M. Tomasello. First steps toward a usage-based theory of language acquisition. *Cognitive Linguistics*, 11(1-2):61–82, 2001. [5, 31, 60, 106]

M. Tomasello. *Constructing a Language: A Usage-Based Theory of Language Acquisition.* Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, 2003. [5]

M. Tomasello. *Constructing a Language : A Usage-Based Theory of Language Acquisition.* Harvard University Press, -, 2005. [52, 61, 181]

D. Tse, R. F. Langston, M. Kakeyama, I. Bethus, and P. A. Spooner. Schemas and memory consolidation. *Science*, 316(5821):76, 2007. [176]

E. Tulving. Episodic and semantic memory. In E. Tulving and W. Donaldson, editors, *Organization of memory*, pages 381–402. Academic Press, New York, 1972. [30]

M. Ullman. Sequence-seeking and counter streams: A model for information processing in the cortex. Technical report, MIT, 1991. [18, 28]

M. Ullman, S. Corkin, M. Coppola, Hickok, and J. Growdon. A neural dissociation within language: Evidence that the mental dictionary is part of declarative memory, and that grammatical rules are processed by the procedural system. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 9(2):266–276, 1997. [31]

S. Ullman. Object recognition and segmentation by a fragment-based hierarchy. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 11(2):58–64, 2007. [19, 20, 103]

S. Ullman, M. Vidal-Naquet, and E. Sali. Visual features of intermediate complexity and their use in classification. *nature neuroscience*, 5(7):682–687, 2002. [19]

F. van der Velde and M. de Kamps. Neural blackboard architectures of combinatorial structures in cognition. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 29(1):37–69, 2006. [71, 72, 86, 90, 184]

F. van der Velde, G. T. van der Voort van der Kleij, and M. de Kamps. Lack of combinatorial productivity in language processing with simple recurrent networks. *Connection Science*, 16(1):21–46, 2004. [22, 75]

T. van Gelder. The dynamical hypothesis in cognitive science. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 1998. [27]

J. van Kampen. The learnability of syntactic categories. In J. van Kampen and S. Baauw, editors, *Proceedings of GALA 2003*, pages 245–256. 2003. [5, 60]

D. van Uytsel, F. van Aelten, and D. van Compernolle. A structured language model based on context-sensitive probabilistic left-corner parsing. In *Proceedings of the second meeting of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics on Language technologies*, pages 1–8, 2001. [139, 140, 146, 149, 158, 188]

T. Voegtlin. Recursive self-organizing maps. *Neural Networks*, 15(8-9):979–991, 2002. [26, 84]

C. von der Malsburg. The correlation theory of brain function. In E. Domany, J. L. van Hemmen, and K. Schulten, editors, *Models of neural networks II*, pages 1–38. 1981. Internal report. [22]

T. Vosse and G. Kempen. Syntactic structure assembly in human parsing: a computational model based on competitive inhibition and a lexicalist grammar. *Cognition*, 75(2):105–143, 2000. [117]

K. Wexler. Innateness of language. In R. A. Wilson and F. C. Keil, editors, *The MIT Encyclopedia of the Cognitive Sciences*, pages 408–409. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1999. [59]

J. G. Wolff. Language acquisition, data compression and generalization. *Language and Communication*, 2(1):57–89, 1982. [56]

C. C. Woodruff, J. D. Johnson, and M. R. Uncapher. Content-specificity of the neural correlates of recollection. *Neuropsychologia*, 43(7):1022–1032, 2005. [32]

M. P. Young. The organization of neural systems in the primate cerebral cortex. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 252 (1333):13–18, 1993. [92]

S. Zeki. *A Vision of the Brain.* Blackwell, -, 1993. [21]

W. Zuidema. What are the productive units of natural language grammar? a DOP approach to the automatic identification of constructions. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning (CONLL-X)*, pages 29–36, 2006. [50, 51]

W. Zuidema. Parsimonious data-oriented parsing. In *Proceedings of the 2007 Joint Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and Computational Natural Language Learning*, 2007. [50, 51, 190]

A. Zylberberg, D. F. Slezak, P. R. Roelfsema, S. Dehaene, and M. Sigman. The brains router: A cortical network model of serial processing in the primate brain. *PLOS computational biology*, 6(4), 2010. [118]