Downloaded from UvA-DARE, the institutional repository of the University of Amsterdam (UvA)
http://dare.uva.nl/document/202567

File ID	202567
Filename	List of tables and figures

SOURCE (OR PART OF THE FOLLOWING SOURCE):

Type	Dissertation
Title	Aspect, tense and modality: theory, typology, acquisition
Author	J.H.G. Boland
Faculty	Faculty of Humanities
Year	2006
Pages	642
ISBN	9076864926978907686492 1

FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC DETAILS:
http://dare.uva.nl/record/190024

Copyright

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (http://dare.uva.nl)

List of Tables and Figures

Tables

Table 2-1. Underlying structure of the layers 30
Table 3-1. Functions of operators 35
Table 3-2. Temporal and aspectual relations according to Klein (1994) 55
Table 3-3. Classification of aspect, tense and quantification according to scope 66
Table 4-1. Combinations of sense and source 73
Table 4-2. Different types of modality according to scope 74
Table 4-3. Combinations of scope and sense 75
Table 4-4. Possible combinations of source and scope 76
Table 4-5. Classification of the domain of modality along the parameters sense, scope, and source 84
Table 4-6. Classification of modality, irrealis and evidentiality according to scope 90
Table 5-1. Classification of TMA domains according to scope 92
Table 5-2. Characteristics of lexical and grammatical items 93
Table 6-1. English TMA expressions included in this research 126
Table 6-2. Subtypes of potentiality, expressed by *can*, *could*, *may* or *might* 144
Table 6-3. Subtypes of disposition, expressed by *will*, *would*, or *wanna* 147
Table 6-4. Subtypes of weak necessity, expressed by *should*, *ought to*, or *be supposed to* 151
Table 6-5. Subtypes of necessity, expressed by *must*, *need to*, *have to*, *have got to*, or *shall* 155
Table 6-6. English TMA expressions with narrow scope (π1-operators) 157
Table 6-7. English TMA expressions with medial scope (π2-operators) 158
Table 6-8. English TMA expressions with wide scope (π3-operators) 158
Table 6-9. Selected data for the adult conversations sample 173
Table 6-10. Distribution of TMA expressions in American English adult conversations (in %) 174
Table 6-11. Number of expression forms and semantic functions per operator class in English 176

Table 6-12. Combinations of scopes of polysemous TMA expressions in English 178
Table 6-13. Relation between expression form and operator type in English 180
Table 6-14. Relation between age and expression form in English 182
Table 7-1. TMA domains and semantic functions included in the study 201
Table 7-2. Comparison of analyses in Bybee et al. (1994) and in this study of the TMA system in Chacobo 204
Table 7-3. Number of languages in the sample that have certain types of operators 211
Table 7-4. Eight portmanteau expressions in Guaymi 213
Table 7-5. Number of expression forms and semantic functions per type of operator 214
Table 7-6. Percentage of languages that are in accordance with H2b 215
Table 7-7. Number of languages in the sample that grammatically express TMA domains 216
Table 7-8. Number of expressions and semantic functions for each TMA domain 217
Table 7-9. Number of MODAL expression forms and semantic functions per type of operator 218
Table 7-10. Frequency of different synchronic configurations 220
Table 7-11. Polysemous expressions with potentiality senses 224
Table 7-12. Polysemous expressions with (weak) necessity senses 225
Table 7-13. Polysemous expressions with sense of disposition and polysemous expressions with general basic senses 226
Table 7-14. Polysemous expressions that combine participant-oriented modality and prospective or future 227
Table 7-15. Polysemous expressions that combine epistemic modality, future tense and irrealis 228
Table 7-16. Polysemous expressions for quantification and aspect 229
Table 7-17. Combinations of scopes in polysemous expressions 231
Table 7-18. Frequency of combinations of meanings of portmanteau expressions 232
Table 7-19. Combinations of scopes in portmanteau expressions 233
Table 7-20. Proportion of expression forms for TMA expressions 235
Table 7-21. Proportion of operator types in different language types 236

Table 7-22. Proportion of expression forms in languages with both bound and non-bound expression forms 237
Table 7-23. Frequencies of expression forms for each semantic domain, in languages with both bound and non-bound expression forms 238
Table 7-24. Proportions of semantic domains within different morphological types of languages 240
Table 7-25. Expression forms of major gram-types in sample of Dahl (1985) 241
Table 7-26. Examples in the sample in which expression order reflects scope relations 244
Table 7-27. Examples in the sample in which expression order does not reflect scope relations 247
Table 8-1. Children included in the research 266
Table 8-2. Overview of 750-utterance samples investigated for each child 266
Table 8-3. Number of adult utterances in the input files to Naomi, Nina and Abe 267
Table 8-4. English TMA expressions with narrow scope (π1) 269
Table 8-5. English TMA expressions with medial scope (π2) 270
Table 8-6. English TMA expressions with wide scope (π3) 270
Table 8-7. Ages and MLU in words of children in phase 1 273
Table 8-8. Ages and MLU in words of children in phase 2 277
Table 8-9. Ages and MLU in words of children in phase 3 283
Table 8-10. Distribution of operators in adult-adult conversations 289
Table 8-11. Absolute frequency of operators in Eve's speech, compared to adult distribution 292
Table 8-12. Absolute frequency of operators in Peter's speech, compared to adult distribution 294
Table 8-13. Absolute frequency of operators in Nina's speech, compared to adult distribution 294
Table 8-14. Absolute frequency of operators in Naomi's speech, compared to adult distribution 296
Table 8-15. Absolute frequency of operators in Adam's speech, compared to adult distribution 296
Table 8-16. Absolute frequency of operators in Sarah's speech, compared to adult distribution 299
Table 8-17. Absolute frequency of operators in Abe's speech, compared to adult distribution 300

Table 8-18. Absolute frequency of operators in Ross' speech, compared to adult distribution 300
Table 8-19. Absolute frequency of operators in input to Naomi, compared to adult sample 304
Table 8-20. Absolute frequency of operators in input to Nina, compared to adult sample 305
Table 8-21. Absolute frequency of operators in input to Abe, compared to adult sample 307
Table 8-22. Eve's use of inflection with five different predicates 312
Table 8-23. Peter's use of inflection with five different predicates 312
Table 8-24. Nina's use of inflection with five different predicates 312
Table 8-25. Naomi's use of inflection with five different predicates 312
Table 8-26. Adam's use of inflection with five different predicates 312
Table 8-27. Sarah's use of inflection with five different predicates 313
Table 8-28. Abe's use of inflection with five different predicates 313
Table 8-29. Ross' use of inflection with five different predicates 313
Table 8-30. Age at which inflectional categories are acquired, according to the five predicates criterion (this thesis) versus the 90% criterion (R. Brown 1973) 314
Table 8-31. Eve's use of auxiliaries with five different predicates 317
Table 8-32. Peter's use of auxiliaries with five different predicates 317
Table 8-33. Nina's use of auxiliaries with five different predicates 317
Table 8-34. Naomi's use of auxiliaries with five different predicates 317
Table 8-35. Adam's use of auxiliaries with five different predicates 318
Table 8-36. Sarah's use of auxiliaries with five different predicates 318
Table 8-37. Abe's use of auxiliaries with five different predicates 318
Table 8-38. Ross' use of auxiliaries with five different predicates 318
Table 8-39. Eve's use of modal domains with five different predicates 321
Table 8-40. Peter's use of modal domains with five different predicates 321
Table 8-41. Nina's use of modal domains with five different predicates 321
Table 8-42. Naomi's use of modal domains with five different predicates 321
Table 8-43. Adam's use of modal domains with five different predicates 321
Table 8-44. Sarah's use of modal domains with five different predicates 322
Table 8-45. Abe's use of modal domains with five different predicates 322
Table 8-46. Ross' use of modal domains with five different predicates 322
Table 8-47. Acquisition of scope within modal domain (five predicates criterion) for all children 324

Table 8-48. Cumulative number of contrasts within operator classes in Eve's data 329
Table 8-49. Cumulative number of contrasts within operator classes in Naomi's data 329
Table 8-50. Cumulative number of contrasts within operator classes in Peter's data 329
Table 8-51. Cumulative number of contrasts within operator classes in Nina's data 329
Table 8-52. Cumulative number of contrasts within operator classes in Adam's data 329
Table 8-53. Cumulative number of contrasts within operator classes in Sarah's data 330
Table 8-54. Abe's use of auxiliaries with five different predicates 331
Table 9-1. Percentage of past progressives (of all progressives) 366
Table 10-1. Classification of TMA domains and specific semantic functions according to scope 384
Table 10-2. Relevant TMA expressions in Turkish 386
Table 10-3. Relevant TMA expressions in Warlpiri 389
Table 10-4. Acquisition of TMA expressions in Sesotho by one child 392
Table 10-5. Acquisition of TMA expressions in West Greenlandic 393
Table 10-6. Relevant TMA expressions in Finnish 396
Table 10-7. Relevant TMA expressions in four Germanic languages 400
Table 10-8. Relevant TMA expressions in three Romance languages 420
Table 10-9. Relevant TMA expressions in Japanese 439
Table 10-10. Relevant TMA expressions in Korean 448
Table 10-11. Relevant TMA expressions in Quiché 453
Table 10-12. Relevant TMA expressions in Yucatan 455
Table 10-13. Use of TMA-prefixes in one child (1;9-2;4) 456
Table 10-14. Relevant TMA expressions in Mandarin 459
Table 10-15. Sentence particles in Cantonese 463
Table 10-16. Relevant TMA expressions in Kaluli 467
Table 10-17. Overview of acquisition orders of TMA in the languages of the sample 470
Table 10-18. Revised overview of acquisition orders of TMA in the languages of the sample 502

Figures

Figure 1-1. Simplified conceptual space for static spatial relationships and crosslinguistic variation in semantic mapping 7
Figure 1-2. Constraints on typological variation 9
Figure 1-3. Constraints on language variation 14
Figure 1-4. Demands on a functional model of language 21
Figure 2-1. Semantic representation of the clause in FG 31
Figure 3-1. Classification of situation types 40
Figure 3-2. Possible selections of parts of the temporal structure of an event by aspectual expressions 43
Figure 3-3. Representation of maximal temporal structure of an unmodified relation or property: PRED $(x_i)^n$ 45
Figure 3-4. Representation of prospective: 'going to PRED' 46
Figure 3-5. Representation of ingressive: 'start PRED' 46
Figure 3-6. Representation of progressive: 'PRED-ing' 47
Figure 3-7. Representation of continuative: 'keep PRED-ing' 48
Figure 3-8. Representation of egressive and completive: 'stop PRED' or 'finish PRED' 48
Figure 3-9. Representation of perfect: 'have PRED-ed' 49
Figure 3-10. Representation of perfective: 'PRED-ed' 49
Figure 3-11. Representation of perfect progressive: 'have been PRED-ing' 50
Figure 3-12. Representation of prospective progressive: 'going to be PRED-ing' 50
Figure 3-13. Representation of prospective ingressive: 'going to start PRED' 51
Figure 3-14. Representation of present tense and perfect: *Mary has written a letter* 58
Figure 3-15. Representation of past tense and perfect: *Mary had written a letter* 58
Figure 3-16. Representations of future tense and perfect: *Mary will have written a letter* 59
Figure 3-17. Representations of an unmodified (left), an iterative (middle) and an intense (right) property or relation: *jump*, *jump up and down*, *make big jumps* 62
Figure 3-18. General representation of event quantification 63
Figure 3-19. Representation of a habitual following Klein's analysis 64
Figure 3-20. Representation of a habitual following Bohnemeyer's analysis 64

Figure 4-1. Scale of senses 69
Figure 5-1. Representation of a semantic or grammaticalization path 104
Figure 5-2. Possible synchronic configurations of polysemy 111
Figure 5-3. Impossible synchronic configurations of polysemy 111
Figure 6-1. Representation of simple present in combination with a stative event in English 131
Figure 6-2. Representation of habitual or distributive interpretation of simple present in English 132
Figure 6-3. Representation of reportative interpretation of simple present in English 133
Figure 6-4. Representation of scheduled event interpretation interpretation of simple present in English 134
Figure 6-5. Representation of a past habitual in combination with an event with boundaries in English 136
Figure 6-6. Representation of a past habitual in combination with a state with a terminal boundary in English 137
Figure 6-7. Developmental paths to resultative and perfect 160
Figure 6-8. Developmental path to progressive 161
Figure 6-9. Developmental path to prospective 161
Figure 6-10. Developmental path of *will* 162
Figure 6-11. Developmental path of *shall* 163
Figure 6-12. Developmental path of *should* 163
Figure 6-13. Developmental path of *must* 164
Figure 6-14. Developmental paths of *may* and *might* 165
Figure 6-15. Developmental paths of *can* and *could* 165
Figure 6-16. Assumed developmental path of *supposed to* 166
Figure 6-17. Developmental path of *have to* 167
Figure 6-18. Developmental path of *have got to* 168
Figure 6-19. Developmental path of *wanna* 168
Figure 6-20. Developmental path of *will* and *would* 169
Figure 6-21. Developmental path of *used to* 170
Figure 7-1. Developmental paths leading to perfective aspect and past tense 189
Figure 7-2. Developmental path leading to evidentiality 190
Figure 7-3. Developmental paths leading to imperfective aspect and present tense 191
Figure 7-4. Developmental paths of aspect and quantification 192

Figure 7-5. Developmental paths of items with a basic sense of potentiality 193
Figure 7-6. Developmental paths leading to future tense and prediction 194
Figure 7-7. Left: primary tense distinction. Right: Primary aspect distinction 207
Figure 8-1. Distribution of operators in Eve's speech and adult sample 292
Figure 8-2. Distribution of operators in Peter's speech and adult sample 295
Figure 8-3. Distribution of operators in Nina's speech and adult sample 295
Figure 8-4. Distribution of operators in Naomi's speech and adult sample 297
Figure 8-5. Distribution of operators in Adam's speech and adult sample 297
Figure 8-6. Distribution of operators in Sarah's speech and adult sample 299
Figure 8-7. Distribution of operators in Abe's speech and adult sample 301
Figure 8-8. Distribution of operators in Ross' speech 301
Figure 8-9. Distribution of operators in Naomi's speech, input to Naomi, and adult sample 304
Figure 8-10. Distribution of operators in Nina's speech, input to Nina, and adult sample 305
Figure 8-11. Distribution of operators in Abe's speech, input to Abe, and adult sample 307
Figure 9-1. Classification of event types 338
Figure 9-2. Proportions of event types for simple present, progressive, and simple past in American English adult-adult conversation 340
Figure 9-3. Naomi's use of progressive: proportions of different situation types 343
Figure 9-4. Nina's use of progressive: proportions of different situation types 343
Figure 9-5. Abe's use of progressive: proportions of different situation types 343
Figure 9-6. Naomi's use of simple past: proportions of different situation types 345
Figure 9-7. Nina's use of simple past: proportions of different situation types 345
Figure 9-8. Abe's use of simple past: proportions of different situation types 345
Figure 9-9. Naomi's use of simple present: proportions of different situation types 347
Figure 9-10. Nina's use of simple present: proportions of different situation types 347

Figure 9-11. Abe's use of simple present: proportions of different situation types 347
Figure 9-12. Input to Nina: proportion of situation types in progressive 349
Figure 9-13. Input to Abe: proportion of situation types in progressive 349
Figure 9-14. Input to Nina: proportions of situation types in simple past 351
Figure 9-15. Input to Abe: proportions of situation types in simple past 351
Figure 9-16. Input to Nina: proportions of situation types in the simple present 353
Figure 9-17. Input to Abe: proportions of situation types in the simple present 353
Figure 10-1. Predicted acquisition order for semantic domains 380
Figure 10-2. Order of acquisition of TMA expressions in Finnish 397
Figure 10-3. Typical relations between event time, speech time and topic time in the child's world 485