

File ID	uvapub:76445
Filename	Contents
Version	unknown

---

SOURCE (OR PART OF THE FOLLOWING SOURCE):

Type	PhD thesis
Title	Dynamic delay management at railways: a Semi-Markovian Decision approach
Author(s)	A. Al Ibrahim
Faculty	FEB: Amsterdam School of Economics Research Institute (ASE-RI)
Year	2010

FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC DETAILS:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11245/1.342891>

---

*Copyright*

*It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content licence (like Creative Commons).*

---

# Contents

Dankwoord (Acknowledgements in Dutch)	v
<b>1 General introduction and overview</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction . . . . .	1
1.2 Practical motivation . . . . .	3
1.3 The research in a broader perspective . . . . .	5
1.4 Performance measures . . . . .	6
1.5 The railway network and decomposition . . . . .	7
1.6 Scheduling and Rescheduling in The Netherlands . . . . .	8
1.7 Literature overview . . . . .	11
1.7.1 Tactical scheduling . . . . .	12
1.7.2 Operational scheduling . . . . .	14
1.7.3 Rescheduling . . . . .	16
1.7.4 Stochastic approaches . . . . .	19
1.7.5 Other related models . . . . .	22
1.7.6 Final remarks . . . . .	23
1.8 The Semi-Markovian Decision technique . . . . .	24
1.8.1 Introduction to SMD . . . . .	24
1.8.2 Solving the SMD model . . . . .	26
1.8.3 SMD as an application for railways . . . . .	28
1.9 Main goals . . . . .	29
1.10 Thesis outline . . . . .	30
<b>2 Model preliminaries</b>	<b>33</b>
2.1 A typical junction . . . . .	33
2.2 The SMD railway framework . . . . .	34
2.2.1 Arrival track . . . . .	35
2.2.2 The arrival process of trains . . . . .	36

2.2.3	Time jumps and train movement on arrival tracks . . . . .	38
2.2.4	Destination track . . . . .	39
2.2.5	Function of blocks in discretized destination track . . . . .	41
2.2.6	Headway time and block length on the destination track . . . . .	41
2.2.7	Train movement on the destination track . . . . .	43
2.2.8	Externality costs . . . . .	44
<b>3</b>	<b>Detailed modelling of the Fork<sub>R</sub> junction</b>	<b>47</b>
3.1	States . . . . .	48
3.2	Decisions . . . . .	49
3.3	Decision moments . . . . .	50
3.4	Transitions . . . . .	50
3.4.1	The destination track phase . . . . .	51
3.4.2	The junction crossing phase . . . . .	51
3.4.3	The arrival process . . . . .	54
3.4.4	Summary of the transitions . . . . .	54
3.5	Costs . . . . .	55
3.6	State space reduction . . . . .	57
3.7	Computational complexity . . . . .	59
3.8	Trains with different destinations . . . . .	60
<b>4</b>	<b>Results of the Fork<sub>R</sub> model</b>	<b>63</b>
4.1	Basic scenario . . . . .	64
4.2	Relevant states at the destination track . . . . .	66
4.3	SMD strategy for the basic scenario . . . . .	67
4.4	Simulation technique . . . . .	69
4.5	The heuristics . . . . .	70
4.6	Results of the basic scenario . . . . .	71
4.7	Variations of the basic scenario . . . . .	74
4.7.1	Freight train acceleration . . . . .	75
4.7.2	System load . . . . .	76
4.7.3	Shorter headway . . . . .	78
4.7.4	The passenger-freight train ratio . . . . .	79
4.7.5	Train type priorities . . . . .	80
4.7.6	Other robustness tests . . . . .	82
4.8	Conclusions . . . . .	83

<b>5</b>	<b>Modelling a Bidirectional junction</b>	<b>85</b>
5.1	Physical differences . . . . .	86
5.2	Model changes . . . . .	87
5.2.1	States . . . . .	88
5.2.2	Decisions . . . . .	88
5.2.3	Time advance . . . . .	89
5.2.4	Transitions . . . . .	89
5.2.5	Costs . . . . .	90
5.2.6	State space reduction . . . . .	90
5.3	Results . . . . .	91
5.3.1	Strategies . . . . .	91
5.3.2	Basic scenario . . . . .	93
5.3.3	SMD strategy of the basic scenario . . . . .	94
5.3.4	Results of the basic scenario . . . . .	97
5.3.5	Variations of the basic scenario . . . . .	98
5.4	Conclusions . . . . .	102
<b>6</b>	<b>The SMD track speed model</b>	<b>105</b>
6.1	Reasons for an alternative approach . . . . .	105
6.2	Modelling changes . . . . .	107
6.2.1	TrackSpeed variable $ts$ . . . . .	107
6.2.2	Changes to the state space . . . . .	108
6.2.3	Changes to transitions . . . . .	108
6.2.4	Changes to costs . . . . .	111
6.3	Computational complexity . . . . .	112
6.4	Results . . . . .	114
6.4.1	Structure of the $SMD_{ts}$ strategy . . . . .	114
6.4.2	Performance of the $SMD_{ts}$ strategy . . . . .	117
6.4.3	Aggregation of track speeds . . . . .	119
6.5	Conclusions . . . . .	120
<b>7</b>	<b>Railway networks</b>	<b>123</b>
7.1	Networks and SMD . . . . .	123
7.2	Decomposition and the scope of junctions . . . . .	124
7.3	A network of three $Fork_2$ junctions . . . . .	126
7.4	A network of seven $Fork_2$ junctions . . . . .	129
7.5	A network containing a bidirectional junction . . . . .	131

7.6	Conclusions . . . . .	133
<b>8</b>	<b>SMD in practice</b>	<b>135</b>
8.1	Line segment Utrecht - Gouda . . . . .	136
8.1.1	Lay-out of the line segment . . . . .	137
8.1.2	Timetable . . . . .	139
8.1.3	Historical delays data . . . . .	142
8.2	The TAD conflict resolution rules . . . . .	144
8.3	The modelling . . . . .	144
8.3.1	Utrecht to Gouda . . . . .	146
8.3.2	Gouda to Utrecht . . . . .	153
8.4	Simulation study . . . . .	158
8.4.1	Simulation and the difference with the SMD model . . . . .	158
8.4.2	Scenarios . . . . .	161
8.4.3	Strategies . . . . .	161
8.4.4	Results Utrecht to Gouda . . . . .	162
8.4.5	Results Gouda to Utrecht . . . . .	165
8.5	SMD decisions and the usage in practice . . . . .	167
8.6	Conclusions . . . . .	171
<b>9</b>	<b>Epilogue</b>	<b>173</b>
9.1	General discussion and summary . . . . .	173
9.2	Limitations of the thesis and recommendations for further research . . . . .	176
	<b>Summary</b>	<b>179</b>
	Summary in English . . . . .	180
	Nederlandse samenvatting (summary in Dutch) . . . . .	184
	Краткое содержание (summary in Russian) . . . . .	188
	مختصر الأطروحة (summary in Arabic) . . . . .	195
	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>203</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Definitions</b>	<b>205</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>TAD rules of the Utrecht-Gouda line segment</b>	<b>209</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>SMD tables of the Utrecht-Gouda line segment</b>	<b>213</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>221</b>