

File ID uvapub:144489
Filename Cover
Version final

SOURCE (OR PART OF THE FOLLOWING SOURCE):

Type PhD thesis
Title Arguing about climate change : judging the handling of climate risk to
 future generations by comparison to the general standards of conduct in
 the case of risk to contemporaries
Author(s) M.D. Davidson
Faculty FGw: Amsterdam School for Cultural Analysis (ASCA)
Year 2009

FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC DETAILS:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11245/1.319723>

Copyright

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content licence (like Creative Commons).

UvA Dissertation

Faculty of Humanities

The present thesis is based on research carried out by Marc Davidson at the University of Amsterdam. Marc currently works as a senior consultant at CE Delft, Solutions for environment, economy and technology, and teaches bioethics at the University of Amsterdam.

Intergenerational justice requires that climate risks to future generations be handled with the same reasonable care deemed acceptable by society in the case of risks to contemporaries. Such general standards of conduct are laid down in tort law, for example. Consequently, the validity of arguments for or against more stringent climate policy can be judged by comparison to the general standards of conduct applying in the case of risk to contemporaries. That this consistency test is able to disqualify certain arguments in the climate debate is illustrated by a further investigation of the debate on the social discount rate, used in cost-benefit analysis of climate policy.



Arguing about climate change

*Judging the handling
of climate risk to future generations
by comparison to the general
standards of conduct in the case
of risk to contemporaries*

MARC D. DAVIDSON



Arguing about climate change

Marc D. Davidson