Downloaded from UvA-DARE, the institutional repository of the University of Amsterdam (UvA)
http://dare.uva.nl/document/156509

File ID	156509
Filename	Contents

SOURCE (OR PART OF THE FOLLOWING SOURCE):

Type	Dissertation
Title	Monetary Valuation of Environmental Goods: Alternatives to Contingent Valuation
Author	B.E. Baarsma
Faculty	Faculty of Economics and Business
Year	2000
Pages	302

FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC DETAILS:
http://dare.uva.nl/record/98585

Copyright

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other then for strictly personal, individual use.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (http://dare.uva.nl)

Contents

Preface vii

Chapter 1 Introduction 1
1.1 A précis of the plot 1
1.2 A critique of monetising the environment 4
1.3 An overview 7

Part I Theory

Chapter 2 Monetary Valuation and Economic Theory 11
2.1 Neoclassical welfare economics 11
2.1.1 Neoclassical basis of monetary valuation methods 14
2.1.2 *Problems with neoclassical assumptions about behaviour* 20
2.1.2.a Assumptions of choice 21
2.1.2.b Rationality assumption 23
2.1.2.c Strict convexity of indifference curves 26
2.1.2.d Assumption of local non-satiation 28
2.1.2.e Consumer sovereignty 30
2.1.2.f Well-structured preferences 31
2.1.2.g Ceteris paribus, time dimensions and complete certainty 34
2.1.2.h Conclusion 35
2.2 Other economic approaches to monetary valuation 36
2.2.1 Institutional economics 37
2.2.2 Ecological economics 45

Chapter 3 The Contingent Valuation Method 53
3.1 A classification of valuation methods 53
3.2 Introduction to the contingent valuation method 56
3.3 Use and popularity of the contingent valuation method 60
3.4 A critique of the contingent valuation method 65
3.4.1 Embedding effects 66
3.4.2 Endowment effects 71
3.4.3 Overestimation 77
3.4.4 Aggregation issues 82
3.5 Concluding remarks 85

Chapter 4 Alternatives to Contingent Valuation 91
4.1 Introduction 91
4.2 Conjoint measurement 93
4.2.1 Conjoint measurement as used in this thesis 97
4.2.2 Advantages of conjoint measurement over the CVM 101
4.3 Welfare evaluation method 104
4.4 Well-being evaluation method 108
4.5 Closure 112

Part II Empirical studies

Chapter 5 The IJburg Experiment: CVM versus Conjoint Measurement 117
5.1 Introduction 118
5.2 Hypotheses 119
5.3 Referendum IJburg 121
5.4 Construction of the questionnaire 124
5.4.1 Construction of the contingent valuation question 124
5.4.2 Construction of the conjoint measurement question 125
5.5 Response 128
5.6 Representativeness of the sample 131
5.7 Differences between CM and CVM subsamples 132
5.8 Order effects 134
5.9 Results of the contingent valuation question 138
5.9.1 Mean and total willingness to pay 140
5.9.2 Determinants of the willingness to pay 142
5.9.3 Starting point bias 144

5.10 Results of the conjoint measurement question 145
5.10.1 The analysis based on rank orderings 146
5.10.2 The analysis based on report marks 148
5.10.3 The analysis based on acceptability 150
5.10.4 Comparison of the three analyses 151
5.10.5 Relating report marks to personal characteristics 153
5.11 Comparison of the CM and CVM results 156
5.11.1 Comparison of CM and CVM valuation results 156
5.11.2 Comparison of CM and CVM response behaviour 157
5.11.3 CVM-vignette in conjoint measurement study 159
5.12 Conclusions 161

Chapter 6 The Schiphol Experiment: Well-Being Evaluation and Conjoint Measurement 167
6.1 Introduction 167
6.2 The measurement of aircraft noise 168
6.3 The development of a compensation scheme 171
6.4 Other valuation studies of aircraft noise nuisance 174
6.5 The response data for the Schiphol survey 181
6.5.1 Response data per question 185
6.6 Results of the well-being evaluation method 189
6.6.1 Explanation of W (Well-being) 191
6.6.2 Compensations and the value of insulation differentiated for income positions 197
6.6.3 Compensations and the value of insulation differentiated for housing expenses 201
6.6.4 Compensations and the value of insulation for the average household 204
6.6.5 The costs of compensation to society 205
6.7 Results of the conjoint measurement question 207
6.7.1 The analysis based on report marks 210
6.7.2 Relating report marks to personal characteristics 214
6.8 Conclusions 216
Appendix A Results of the welfare evaluation method 220
Appendix B Specification of the unreliability of the Ku values lower than 20 223
Appendix C Total number of residences in the Schiphol region and Ku values 230

Chapter 7 Conclusions and Summary 233
7.1 Monetary valuation of the environment 233
7.2 Debate regarding the pros and cons of the CVM 235
7.3 Alternatives to the CVM 240
7.4 Empirical studies 243

Appendix I The IJburg Questionnaire 251

Appendix II The Schiphol Questionnaire 259

Bibliography 275

Nederlandse Samenvatting 295